

Introduction to Computational Physics

Matthias Jamin

(Additional discussion on Friday, 16.5. and Monday, 19.5.)

EXERCISE 1.1: Numerical accuracy

Due to rounding errors, the difference quotient has a relative precision of $\sim \epsilon f/(hf')$, where ϵ is the machine precision. On the other hand, from the Taylor expansion, the next terms are of order hf''/f' and h^2f'''/f' , respectively. (Neglecting factors of 2 etc.) For the optimal h , both uncertainties should be of the same order. This yields for the first formula:

$$h_{\text{opt}} \sim \epsilon^{1/2}; \quad \delta f'/f' \sim \epsilon^{1/2},$$

and for the improved symmetrical formula:

$$h_{\text{opt}} \sim \epsilon^{1/3}; \quad \delta f'/f' \sim \epsilon^{2/3},$$

where function values and derivatives are assumed to be of $\mathcal{O}(1)$. In the cases at hand, these estimates work remarkably well!

EXERCISE 1.2: Numerical stability

In the Mathematica program, the exact result for p_n with $n = 1 \dots 20$ is given in the table `pTable`. Since for larger n , the result is of the form *large number* minus *large number times e* which almost cancel, discuss the problems when one just calculates `N[pTable]`, and compare with `N[pTable,40]` (which is correct up to ~ 20 digits). Discuss that the original recursion relation (`precTable`) breaks down for larger n , because a small deviation ϵ is blown up with $n!$. On the contrary, for the inverse recursion relation (`pinvTable`), a deviation is damped with $1/n!$, and thus even taking an arbitrary initial value p_{30} , p_{20} is already very close to the exact result.