

Frustration effects in magnetic molecules

Jürgen Schnack


Department of Physics - University of Osnabrück
<http://obelix.physik.uni-osnabrueck.de/~schnack/>

PhD program, Osnabrück, April 16, 2004

Contents

- Introduction
- Spins and Interactions
- Ferromagnets and Antiferromagnets
- Frustration
- Magnetization jumps
- Plateaus and Susceptibility minima
- Magnetocaloric effect

Gossip about frustration



Fe_{30}

- Typical statement: It is known that frustrated spin systems exhibit spectacular phenomena: high ground state degeneracy, re-entrance, partial disorder, controversial nature of the phase transition, order by the disorder, etc.
- What is frustration?
- New consequences of frustration?

Interaction and frustration

Heisenberg Hamiltonian


$$\underline{H} = - \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \vec{\underline{S}}(i) \cdot \vec{\underline{S}}(j) + g \mu_B B \sum_i^N \underline{S}_z(i)$$

Heisenberg Zeeman

The Heisenberg model – including anisotropy, and dipol-dipol interaction if necessary – as well as a Zeeman term describes the magnetic spectrum of many molecules with high accuracy.


$\vec{\underline{S}}(i)$ are the spin operators at sites i , J_{ij} is the strength of the mutual interaction, B is the applied magnetic field.

Ferromagnets and Antiferromagnets




- Dimer: $\underline{H} = -2J \vec{s}(1) \cdot \vec{s}(2)$
- Ferromagnetic coupling: $J > 0$, classical spin vectors align parallel; $E_0 = -2Js^2$.
- Antiferromagnetic coupling: $J < 0$, classical spin vectors align antiparallel; $E_0 = 2Js^2$.
- Antiferromagnetic ring with even N : classical spin vectors align antiparallel; $E_0 = 2JNs^2$.

Simple definition of frustration




- Triangle: $H = -2J \left(\vec{s}(1) \cdot \vec{s}(2) \vec{s}(2) \cdot \vec{s}(3) \vec{s}(3) \cdot \vec{s}(1) \right)$
- Very simple definition of frustration: The last spin is frustrated because it does not know how to align.
- True classical ground state in the antiferromagnetic triangle is given by relative angles of 120° between neighboring spins it is degenerate.
- But the quantum ground state is non-degenerate for integer s and fourfold degenerate for half-integer s .

Classical definition of frustration



- Definition: A quantum spin system is frustrated if the corresponding classical system is frustrated, i. e. if neighboring classical spins are not aligned antiparallel.
- Problem: Need to know the corresponding classical system.


Advanced definition of frustration



- Definition: A non-bipartite system is called frustrated.
- Bipartite: If the system can be decomposed into subsystems A and B such that the coupling constants fulfil $J(x_A, y_B) \leq g^2$, $J(x_A, y_A) \geq g^2$, $J(x_B, y_B) \geq g^2$, the system is called bipartite.
- Definition uses topological properties of the graph of interactions.


Consequences of frustration

Zeeman level splitting



- $H_{\text{Zeeman}} = g \mu_B B \sum_i^N s_z(i)$
- $E_\nu(B) = E_\nu(B = 0) + g \mu_B B M_\nu$
- The lowest level for a given magnetic field B is the new ground state. This state defines the magnetization at $T = 0$.
- If $E_{\min}(S)$ quadratic in S (Landé interval rule) then the magnetization steps are equidistant.

{Mo₇₂Fe₃₀} - magnetization jump




- $E_{\min}(S)$ linear in S for high S instead of being quadratic (1).
- Heisenberg model: property depends only on the structure but not on s (2).
- Alternative formulation: independent localized magnons (3).

(1) J. Schnack, H.-J. Schmidt, J. Richter, J. Schulenburg, Eur. Phys. J. B **24**, 475 (2001)

(2) H.-J. Schmidt, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, 6545 (2002)

(3) J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, J. Schnack, J. Richter, H.-J. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 167207


Localized Magnons



- $|\text{localized magnon}\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle + |3\rangle - |4\rangle)$
- $|u\rangle = \tilde{s}^-(u)|\Omega\rangle$; $|\Omega\rangle$ – magnon vacuum;
 $u = 1, 2, 3, 4$
- $\tilde{H}|1\rangle = J\{|1\rangle + 1/2(|2\rangle + |4\rangle + |5\rangle + |8\rangle)\}$
- $\tilde{H}|\text{localized magnon}\rangle \propto |\text{localized magnon}\rangle$

- Triangles trap the localized magnon, amplitudes cancel at outer vertices.

Kagomé Lattice




- Localized one-magnon state indicated by bold lines.
- Non-interacting one-magnon states can be placed on the grid; each state of n independent magnons is the ground state in the Hilbert subspace with $M = Ns - n$.
- \Rightarrow linear dependence of E_{\min} on M ; magnetization jump;
- Maximal number of independent magnons: $N/9$.
- Magnetization jump is a macroscopic quantum effect!


J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, J. Schnack, J. Richter, H.-J. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 167207 (2002)

Plateaus

- Plateaus of the magnetization curve are a very popular subjects nowadays.
- Especially structures built of corner sharing triangles often show a plateau at $M_{\text{sat}}/3$.
- Lattices like the Kagomé lattice are examples for such a behavior.
- The properties of such frustrated lattices are supposed to be related to high temperature superconductivity.




Susceptibility minima I



- Several frustrated Heisenberg spin systems feature a local minimum in the susceptibility as function of magnetic field B (1).
- Triangular, Kagomé, garnet lattice, icosidodecahedron, cuboctahedron, and triangle have a dip around $B_{\text{sat}}/3$, more precise $M_{\text{sat}}/3$.
- Pyrochlore lattice and tetrahedron feature a dip around $B_{\text{sat}}/2$, more precise $M_{\text{sat}}/2$.
- Phenomenon emerges in spin systems with sublattice structure.

(1) C. Schröder, H. Nojiri, J. Schnack, P. Hage, P. Kögerler, and M. Luban, to be submitted soon

Susceptibility minima II




$$\tilde{H}^{\text{triangle}} = J \left[\tilde{S}^2 - 3\tilde{s}^2 \right]$$

$$\tilde{H}^{\text{tetrahedron}} = J \left[\tilde{S}^2 - 4\tilde{s}^2 \right].$$

- Spectra of triangle with $s = 4$ and tetrahedron with $s = 3$ identical – rotational band, Landé rule.
- Difference in magnetization behavior stems from different degeneracies!
- The degeneracies of energy eigenvalues result from the various ways to couple 3 or 4 intrinsic spins to a given total spin S .

Susceptibility minima III




- Stability analysis with three level system

$$dM \approx -\beta (g\mu_B)^2 e^{-\beta\Delta} \frac{d_1 + d_3}{d_2} dB$$

- Minimum of χ whenever $(d_1 + d_3)/d_2$ is minimal.
- Triangle: minimum at $S_{\max}/3$,
tetrahedron: minimum at $S_{\max}/2$.
- Alternative: degeneracy functions consist of two branches, intersection shows up in higher derivatives of $Z(T, B)$

Susceptibility minima IV




- Local minimum in χ is observed in several extended spin structures.
- Three-sublattice systems: triangle, octahedron, cuboctahedron, icosidodecahedron as well as triangular, Kagomé, and garnet lattice.
- Four-sublattice systems: tetrahedron and pyrochlore lattice.
- Explanation quantum mechanically difficult, classical explanation much clearer.

The Magnetocaloric Effect

- Discovered in pure iron by E. Warburg in 1881.
- Heating or cooling in a varying magnetic field.
- Typical rates: 0.5 . . . 2 K/T (adiabatic temperature change).
- Giant magnetocaloric effect: 3 . . . 4 K/T in $\text{Gd}_5(\text{Si}_x\text{Ge}_{1-x})_4$ alloys ($x \leq 0.5$).
- Also interesting: refrigerant capacity, which is the measure of how much heat can be transferred from a cold to a hot reservoir in one ideal thermodynamic cycle.
- Magnetocaloric effect especially effective in frustrated classical spin systems (1).


(1) M. E. Zhitomirsky, *Enhanced magnetocaloric effect in frustrated magnets*, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 104421 (2003)

Adiabatic temperature change



- Adiabatic process: no heat transfer to the environment, i.e. constant entropy $dS = 0$.
- Effect strong around jumps of the magnetization curve.
- Adiabatic temperature change especially strong at the large jump to saturation.

Relative magnetocaloric effect



- In paramagnets, i. e. uncoupled magnetic moments (spins), we find $(\partial T / \partial B)_S^{\text{para}} = T / B$.
- Interacting spin systems can exceed the paramagnetic limit.
- Figure shows $(\partial T / \partial B)_S / (\partial T / \partial B)_S^{\text{para}}$
- Again in the vicinity of the large jump to saturation the effect is much stronger than in a paramagnet.

Application of the magnetocaloric effect



- Application for magnetization cooling: at room temperature for everyday applications, at very low-temperature for extreme cooling.
- Magnetic refrigeration: cost effective, save considerable energy (20 to 30%) over conventional gas compression technology; environmentally friendly, since eliminating ozone depleting chemicals (CFCs), green house gases (HCFCs and HFCs), and hazardous chemicals (NH_3) [Karl A. Gschneidner, Jr., Ames Lab].

Thank you very much for your
attention.

The end.