

1 **Classical molecular dynamics investigations of biphenyl-based carbon** 2 **nano membranes**

3 Andreas Mrugalla and Jürgen Schnack*¹

4 Address: ¹Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Ger-
5 many

6 Email: Jürgen Schnack - jschnack@uni-bielefeld.de

7 * Corresponding author

8 **Abstract**

9 **Background:** Free-standing carbon nanomembranes (CNM) with molecular thickness and macro-
10 scopic size are fascinating objects both for fundamental reasons and for applications in nanotech-
11 nology. Although being made from simple and identical precursors their internal structure is not
12 fully known and hard to simulate due to the large system size that is necessary to draw definite con-
13 clusions.

14 **Results:** We performed large-scale classical molecular dynamics investigations of biphenyl-based
15 carbon nanomembranes. We show that one-dimensional graphene-like stripes constitute a highly
16 symmetric quasi one-dimensional ground state. This state does not crosslink. Instead crosslinked
17 structures are formed from highly excited precursors with a sufficient amount of broken phenyls.

18 **Conclusion:** The internal structure of CNM is very likely a disordered metastable state which is
19 formed in the process of cooling.

20 **Keywords**

21 biphenyls; carbon nanomembranes; classical molecular dynamics

22 **Introduction**

23 Freestanding carbon nanomembranes are produced from molecular precursors such as for instance
24 biphenylthiols. They self-assemble in monolayers on gold surfaces if polymerized by radiation
25 with electrons [1-3]. The product is a membrane, whose thickness, homogeneity and surface chem-
26 istry are directly related to the molecular precursor. So far several classes of precursors have been
27 exploited [3].

28 One of the major unsolved questions is the internal structure of these membranes, since the struc-
29 ture cannot be determined by x-ray. In Ref. [4] quantum chemical calculations were performed
30 for various dimers of biphenyls, which left open how the precursor molecules interlink laterally. A
31 first small-scale quantum calculation (using ARGUS Lab) of a two-dimensional cutout of 6 by 5
32 biphenyls is reported in Ref. [4]. These calculations suggest that the regular structure of the pre-
33 cursor SAM turns into a disordered sheet. Nevertheless, the simulations of the very small system
34 do not allow definite conclusions about the structure of the extended sheet. On the other hand, the
35 quantum mechanical simulation of extended systems even by means of Density Functional Theory
36 (DFT) has to assume a regular lattice and can treat only small unit cells [5-7]. Consequently, the
37 resulting structure is also regular [7]. If one, as in the present case, can expect that the structure is
38 irregular, i.e. a lattice structure as in solids cannot be assumed, a quantum mechanical simulation is
39 virtually impossible.

40 In this article we therefore resort to Classical Molecular Dynamics (CMD) simulations which allow
41 to simulate up to several millions of carbon atoms. In order to account for the very flexible sp^n -
42 binding modes of carbon we use the modern carbon-carbon potential of Nigel Marks [8] which
43 has been demonstrated to be able to simulate extended carbon structures [9,10]. We focus our in-
44 vestigations on CNMs made of biphenylthiols. The simulational results of our energy minimizing
45 procedure yield – depending on the initial state – a large variety of structures, among which a very
46 regular one made of parallel graphene stripes has the lowest energy. Our hypothesis is, that in a re-
47 alistic synthesis process such an idealized state is not reached, instead the system “freezes” into a

48 metastable irregular configuration that is laterally linked through carbon bonds of broken phenyls.
 49 We show that such structures indeed form in our simulations.
 50 The article is organized as follows. In the next section we shortly repeat the essentials of our classi-
 51 cal molecular dynamics simulations. The main section discusses the results. The article closes with
 52 an outlook.

53 Classical carbon-carbon interaction

54 A realistic classical carbon-carbon interaction must be able to account for the various sp^n -binding
 55 modes. Two potentials, developed by Tersoff and Brenner, have been used for carbon materials
 56 as well as for hydro-carbons [11-13]. In our investigations we employ the improved potential by
 57 Marks [8]. This potential comprises density-dependent two- and three-body potentials, U_2 and U_3
 58 respectively,

$$59 \quad V(\vec{R}_1, \dots, \vec{R}_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N U_2(R_{ij}, Z(i)) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N \sum_{\substack{k=j+1 \\ k \neq i}}^N U_3(R_{ij}, R_{ik}, \theta(i, j, k), Z(i)) \right) \quad (1)$$

60 which account for the various binding modes. We would not like to repeat the technical details,
 61 which are given in Ref. [8], but rather show with two figures how such effective potentials work.
 62 Figure 1 shows on the l.h.s. the radial dependence of the two-body potential for various coordi-
 63 nations $Z(i)$, i.e. various numbers of nearest neighbor atoms. The general trend is that the bond
 64 weakens and the minimum shifts to larger distances with coordination. On the r.h.s. of Fig. 1 a ma-
 65 jor ingredient to the three-body term is shown which regulates the bonding angles. As one can see,
 66 a single carbon with two neighbors leads to a linear configuration, with three neighbors a 120° -
 67 configuration is assumed, and so on.

68 We tested the potential for several typical sp^2 -bonded materials such as graphene and carbon
 69 nanotubes and obtained perfect structures [14]. It should be made clear at this point that classical




Figure 1: Pictorial representation of the major ingredients of the carbon-carbon potential (??), compare also Ref. [8]. L.h.s.: two-body potential for various coordination numbers. R.h.s.: coordination number dependence of angular-dependent part of the three-body potential.

70 molecular dynamics cannot describe electronic properties or molecular orbitals, but structure in the
 71 sense of atomic positions and mechanical properties such as vibrational spectra or Young's moduli.

72 Results and Discussion

73 Structure investigations have been performed for arrangements of 10×10 biphenyls, i.e. 1200 car-
 74 bon atoms. The initial state was assumed either regular in various configurations including twists
 75 between lower and upper phenyls or random with small fluctuations around original carbon posi-
 76 tions. An energy minimization was performed in order to reach a local energy minimum. That the
 77 realistic CNM state is described by a local energy minimum is an important conceptual ingredi-
 78 ent of the modeling. The global energy minimum would be given by the graphene structure, which
 79 is not reached in the course of the synthesis process, but can indeed be reached experimentally by
 80 heating the material [4].

81 It turns out that a graphene-like structure constitutes a deep local energy minimum. This structure
 82 is given by parallel graphene stripes that form for a tilt angle of 30° with respect to the surface nor-
 83 mal, compare Fig. 2. The stripe structure forms even if the initial state is moderately excited by
 84 carbon displacements about their mean positions. It is interesting to note that a similar angle of 31°
 85 was determined by means of Near-Edge X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (NEXAFS) investigations
 86 on pristine monolayers [1,4,15]. This angle seems to increase, on average, to 41° after irradiation
 87 [4].




Figure 2: Front (A), side (B) and top (C) view of an initial state made of a regular arrangement of tilted biphenyls. The tilt angle is 30° . Front (D), side (E) and top (F) view of the resulting local energy minimum state, which consists of regular graphene stripes.

88 We conjecture that the also observed amount of destroyed phenyl bonds, Ref. [4], plays an impor-
 89 tant role in understanding the formation of laterally interlinked biphenyls. It signals that the CNM
 90 is very likely laterally interlinked through broken phenyls. Figure (3) shows on the l.h.s. as an ex-
 91 ample the initial randomized configuration as it could be realized during the electron exposition
 92 and on the r.h.s. the resulting state found by steepest descent.




Figure 3: Top view of a strongly excited initial state (A) and of the corresponding local energy minimum state (B).

93 We performed about 50 of the very demanding simulations for various initial conditions charac-

94 terized by the structure of the two-dimensional lattice, by the tilt angle, the torsion angles of the
95 phenyls, and by the initial displacements of the carbon atoms from their biphenyl positions. Al-
96 though these degrees of freedom constitute an enormous parameter space, we are able to summa-
97 rize our numerical experience like follows: For local displacements of less than about $\pm 1 \text{ \AA}$ always
98 the graphene stripe configuration forms. This is in part also observed in DFT calculations [7]. Only
99 for sufficient randomization of the initial state, which corresponds to a substantial excitation and to
100 the breakup of sufficiently many phenyls, a true crosslinking is observed. The resulting states are
101 characterized by an irregular structure with pores of various sizes, as can be seen in Fig. 3 (B).

102 **Outlook**

103 Our investigations demonstrate that carbon nanomembranes, which are produced from molecular
104 precursors such as for instance biphenylthiols, very likely constitute irregular metastable configura-
105 tions that form from highly excited randomized self-assembled monolayers. This suggests that the
106 electron exposition (dose, time, energy) as well as the cooling dynamics plays an important role for
107 the actual structure. Future investigations will focus on these aspects as well as on obvious conse-
108 quences such as defect formation, e.g. pores.

109 **Acknowledgements**

110 We are very thankful to Prof. Nigel Marks for sharing with us the details of his carbon-carbon po-
111 tential and Daniela Ramermann by supporting us with povray figures.

112 **References**

- 113 1. Geyer, W.; Stadler, V.; Eck, W.; Zharnikov, M.; Golzhauser, A.; Grunze, M. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*
114 **1999**, *75*, 2401–2403.
- 115 2. Turchanin, A.; Beyer, A.; Nottbohm, C. T.; Zhang, X.; Stosch, R.; Sologubenko, A.;
116 Mayer, J.; Hinze, P.; Weimann, T.; Gölzhäuser, A. *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, *21* (12), 1233–1237.

- 117 3. Angelova, P.; Vieker, H.; Weber, N.-E.; Matei, D.; Reimer, O.; Meier, I.; Kurasch, S.;
118 Biskupek, J.; Lorbach, D.; Wunderlich, K.; Chen, L.; Terfort, A.; Klapper, M.; Müllen, K.;
119 Kaiser, U.; Götzhäuser, A.; Turchanin, A. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 6489–6497.
- 120 4. Turchanin, A.; Käfer, D.; El-Desawy, M.; Wöll, C.; Witte, G.; Götzhäuser, A. *Langmuir* **2009**,
121 *25*, 7342–7352.
- 122 5. Heimel, G.; Romaner, L.; Zojer, E.; Brédas, J.-L. *Nano Letters* **2007**, *7*, 932–940.
- 123 6. Heimel, G.; Romaner, L.; Bredas, J.-L.; Zojer, E. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 474–482.
- 124 7. Cabrera-Sanfeliix, P.; Arnau, A.; Sanchez-Portal, D. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *12*,
125 1578–1584. doi:10.1039/B919336G.
- 126 8. Marks, N. A. *Phys. Rev. B* **2000**, *63*, 035401.
- 127 9. Marks, N. A.; Cooper, N. C.; McKenzie, D. R.; McCulloch, D. G.; Bath, P.; Russo, S. P. *Phys.*
128 *Rev. B* **2002**, *65*, 075411.
- 129 10. Powles, R. C.; Marks, N. A.; Lau, D. W. M. *Phys. Rev. B* **2009**, *79*, 075430.
- 130 11. Tersoff, J. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 6991–7000.
- 131 12. Brenner, D. W. *Phys. Rev. B* **1990**, *42*, 9458–9471.
- 132 13. Brenner, D. W.; Shenderova, O. A.; Harrison, J. A.; Stuart, S. J.; Ni, B.; Sinnott, S. B. *J.*
133 *Phys.: Cond. Mat.* **2002**, *14* (4), 783.
- 134 14. Mrugalla, A. Berechnung von Grundzuständen verschiedener Kohlenstoff-Cluster mit einem
135 empirischen Potential. Master'ssq Thesis, Bielefeld University, **2013**.
- 136 15. Frey, S.; Rong, H.-T.; Heister, K.; Yang, Y.-J.; Buck, M.; Zharnikov, M. *Langmuir* **2002**, *18*,
137 3142–3150.

¹³⁸ This article is published in full length in *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* **20??**, ?, No. ?.