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Introduction

Nearly fifty years ago Rudolf L Mössbauer, whilst working on his doctoral thesis under Professor Maier-Leibnitz in Heidelberg, discovered the recoilless nuclear resonance absorption of gamma rays which became known as the Mössbauer Effect [1-3]. The phenomenon rapidly developed to a new spectroscopic technique which now bears his name. The Mössbauer Effect has been observed for about 100 nuclear transitions in some 80 nuclides in nearly fifty elements. Not all of these transitions are suitable for actual exploitation but, as will be shown here, the technique has made valuable contributions to the physical-, chemical-, biological- and earth-sciences.

“Mössbauer’s discovery that $\gamma$-ray emission and absorption can occur in a recoil-free fashion might have seemed at first glance to be no more than just an interesting new phenomenon. However, as soon as it became generally realized that the Mössbauer resonance line is extremely narrow and allows hyperfine interactions to be resolved and evaluated in a rather straightforward way, this handy new method created an avalanche of research activity. Within a few years nearly all disciplines in the natural sciences enjoyed a boom in the application of Mössbauer spectroscopy. Some journals were swamped to such an extent that editorials were written to limit the publication of Mössbauer results…..Rudolf Mössbauer’s concluding remark concerning the effect that bears his name in his Nobel Laureate speech of December 1961 has proved to be correct and has retained its significance to the present day; it can also be regarded as a prognosis for the future. “We may therefore hope that this young branch of physics stands only at its threshold, and that it will be developed in the future, not only to extend the application of existing knowledge but to make possible new advances in the exciting world of unknown phenomena and effects.”
There have, over the past forty years, been excellent books devoted to Mössbauer spectroscopy [4-17] and numerous reviews. The purpose of the material shown here is to use schematic representations with the minimum of text to describe the principles and applications of Mössbauer spectroscopy and encourage the reader to consult other material for the detail.
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The Principle of the Mössbauer Effect and
Basic Concepts of Mössbauer Spectroscopy

For pedagogical reasons the following pictures and explanations refer to the most prominent Mössbauer active nuclide $^{57}$Fe.
Mössbauer effect:
“Recoilless nuclear resonance absorption of $\gamma$-rays“

similar to
Acoustic resonance between two tuning forks with same frequency $v_e = v_a$
Excited state \( E_e \)

Ground state \( E_g \)

**Nucleus 1**

**Nucleus 2**

\[ E_0 = E_a - E_g \]

\( \gamma \)-rays

**Sender (Mössbauer Source)**

**Transmitted \( \gamma \)-rays**

**Receiver (Mössbauer Absorber)**
Important:
Elimination of recoil effect upon emission and absorption of $\gamma$-rays!

$E_R = E_\gamma^2 / 2mc^2$
Recoilless Nuclear Resonance Absorption and Fluorescence of $\gamma$-Radiation

Nucleus in Excited State

$Z, N$

$E_e$

$\gamma$-Emission

Nucleus in Ground State

$Z, N$

$E_g$

Resonant Absorption

Resonant Fluorescence
A nucleus with Z protons and N neutrons in an excited state of energy $E_e$ undergoes transition to the ground state of energy $E_g$ by emitting a gamma quantum of energy $E_e - E_g$. The gamma quantum may be absorbed by the nucleus of the same kind (same Z and N) in its ground state, whereby transition to the excited state of energy $E_e$ takes place (resonant absorption). The subsequent transition to the ground state emits a conversion electron $e^-$ or a $\gamma$-quantum (resonant fluorescence).
Nuclear Decay Scheme for $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Resonance

$\begin{align*}
I &= 5/2 \\
136 \text{ keV} \\
85 \% \\
14.4 \text{ keV, } \sim 100 \text{ ns} \\
0
\end{align*}$
Radioactive $^{57}$Co with 270 days halflife, which may be generated in a cyclotron and diffused into a noble metal like rhodium, serves as the gamma radiation source for $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. $^{57}$Co decays by electron capture (EC from K-shell, thereby reducing the proton number, from 27 to 26 corresponding to $^{57}$Fe) and initially populates the 136 keV nuclear level of $^{57}$Fe with nuclear spin quantum number $I = \frac{5}{2}$. This excited state decays after ca. 10 ns and populates, with 85 % probability the 14.4 keV level by emitting 122 keV gamma quanta, with 15 % probability the 136 keV level decays directly to the ground state of $^{57}$Fe. The 14.4 keV nuclear state has a halflife of ca. 100 ns. Both the halflife and the emitted gamma quanta of 14.4 keV energy are ideally suited for $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy.

$I = \frac{3}{2}$ and $I = \frac{1}{2}$ are the nuclear spin quantum numbers of the excited state (14.4 keV) and the ground state, respectively.
Mössbauer Active Elements
Periodic table of the elements. Marked in red are the elements (more than 40) for which the Mössbauer effect has been observed. The most prominent “Mössbauer nuclide” is $^{57}\text{Fe}$. More than 90 % of the nearby 50 000 publications which have appeared so far refer to $^{57}\text{Fe}$ isotope. Nuclides suitable for Mössbauer spectroscopy should possess excited nuclear states with lifetimes in the range of ca. $10^{-6}$ to ca. $10^{-11}$ s, and transition energies between ca. 5 and 180 keV. Longer (shorter) lifetimes than indicated lead, according to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle, to too narrow (broad) emission and absorption lines, which no longer effectively overlap. Transition energies beyond ca. 180 keV cause too large recoil effects which destroy the resonance (see next figure). Gamma quanta with energies smaller than ca. 5 keV will mostly be absorbed in the source and absorber material.
Experimental Resonance Conditions

Transition energy: $E_\gamma = E_a - E_g$

Suitable range: $5 \text{ keV} \leq E_\gamma \leq 180 \text{ keV}$

If $E_\gamma \leq 5 \text{ keV}$: Complete non-resonance absorption

If $E_\gamma \geq 180 \text{ keV}$: Recoil energy $E_R = E_\gamma^2/2mc^2$ becomes too large and destroys resonance
# Nuclear parameters for selected Mössbauer isotopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>$E_\gamma$/keV</th>
<th>$\Gamma_\gamma/(\text{mm s}^{-1})$ = 2 $\Gamma_{\text{nat}}$</th>
<th>$I_g$</th>
<th>$I_e$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>Natural abundance %</th>
<th>Nuclear decay*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{57}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>$1/2^-$</td>
<td>$3/2^-$</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>$^{57}\text{Co(EC 270 d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{61}\text{Ni}$</td>
<td>67.40</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>$3/2^-$</td>
<td>$5/2^-$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>$^{61}\text{Co(\beta^-99 m)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{119}\text{Sn}$</td>
<td>23.87</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>$1/2^+$</td>
<td>$3/2^+$</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>$^{119m}\text{Sn(IT 50 d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{121}\text{Sb}$</td>
<td>37.15</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>$5/2^+$</td>
<td>$7/2^+$</td>
<td>$\sim10$</td>
<td>57.25</td>
<td>$^{121m}\text{Sn(\beta^-76 y)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{125}\text{Te}$</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>$1/2^+$</td>
<td>$3/2^+$</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>$^{125}\text{I(EC 60d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{127}\text{I}$</td>
<td>57.60</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>$5/2^+$</td>
<td>$7/2^+$</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$^{127m}\text{Te (\beta^-109 d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{129}\text{I}$</td>
<td>27.72</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>$7/2^+$</td>
<td>$5/2^+$</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>$^{129m}\text{Te (\beta^-33 d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{149}\text{Sm}$</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>$7/2^-$</td>
<td>$5/2^-$</td>
<td>$\sim12$</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>$^{149}\text{Eu(EC 106 d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{151}\text{Eu}$</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>$5/2^+$</td>
<td>$7/2^+$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>$^{151}\text{Gd(EC 120 d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{161}\text{Dy}$</td>
<td>25.65</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>$5/2^+$</td>
<td>$5/2^-$</td>
<td>$\sim2.5$</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>$^{161}\text{Tb(\beta^-6.9 d)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{193}\text{Ir}$</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$3/2^+$</td>
<td>$1/2^+$</td>
<td>$\sim6$</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>$^{193}\text{Os(\beta^-31 h)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{197}\text{Au}$</td>
<td>77.34</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>$3/2^+$</td>
<td>$1/2^+$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$^{197}\text{Pt(\beta^-18 h)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{237}\text{Np}$</td>
<td>59.54</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>$5/2^+$</td>
<td>$5/2^-$</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>$^{241}\text{Am(\alpha458 y)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EC = electron capture, $\beta^-$ = beta-decay, IT = isomeric transition, $\alpha$ – alpha-decay
## Comparison Between Electronic and Nuclear Transitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Electronic Transition Sodium D Line</th>
<th>Nuclear Transition Fe-57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy of Transition, ( E ) (ev)</strong></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>14.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean Life Time of Excited State, ( \tau ) (sec)</strong></td>
<td>1.5 ( \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>1.4 ( \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Natural Width of Resonance Line, ( \Gamma = \hbar / \tau ) (ev)</strong></td>
<td>4.4 ( \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>4.6 ( \times 10^{-9} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolving Power, ( \Gamma / E )</strong></td>
<td>2.1 ( \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>3.1 ( \times 10^{-13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recoil Energy, ( E_R ) (ev)</strong></td>
<td>( \sim 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>1.9 ( \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ratio ( E_R / \Gamma )</strong></td>
<td>( \sim 2.3 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>4.1 ( \times 10^5 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean lifetime $\tau_N$ of excited nuclear state

Suitable range: $10^{-6} \text{ s} \geq \tau_N \geq 10^{-11} \text{ s}$

The mean lifetime $\tau_N$ determines the width of the resonance lines according to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle: $\Gamma \cdot \tau_N = \hbar$.

(The mean lifetime $\tau_N$ is related to the half-life $t_{1/2}$ by the relation $\tau_N = \ln 2 \cdot t_{1/2}$.)

Intensity as function of transition energy $E$ of nuclear or optical transitions
The distribution of energies about the energy $E_0$ (= transition probability as function of transition energy $E$) is given by the **Breit-Wigner formula**:

$$I(E) = \frac{(\Gamma/2)^2}{(E - E_0)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2}$$

$$\Gamma = \hbar/\tau_N = \textit{natural line width}, \ \hbar = \text{Planck’s constant}$$

- $\tau_N$ large $\rightarrow \Gamma$ small
- $\tau_N$ small $\rightarrow \Gamma$ large
Resonance absorption is observable only if the emission and absorption lines overlap sufficiently. This is not the case when the lines are:

- too narrow \((\tau_N \geq 10^{-6} \text{ s})\)
- too broad \((\tau_N \leq 10^{-11} \text{ s})\)

Resonance absorption is "hidden in the noise"
**Sharpness of resonance** \( \Gamma/E_\gamma \)

in Mössbauer effect with nuclear transition \( E_\gamma \)

Example \( ^{57}\text{Fe} \)

\[
\tau_N = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ s} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Gamma = \frac{\hbar}{\tau_N} = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ eV}
\]

\[
\Gamma/E_\gamma = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ eV}/14 400 \text{ eV} = 3.26 \cdot 10^{-13}
\]

(Optical transitions \( \Gamma/E \sim 10^{-8} \))
Recoil Effect

By emission or absorption of $\gamma$-quanta with energy $E_\gamma$ in a free atom or molecule (gas, liquid) the atom (molecule) of mass $m$ suffers a recoil effect with energy $E_R$ given by the equation

$$E_R = \frac{E_\gamma^2}{2mc^2}$$

which is much larger (5-6 orders of magnitude) than the natural line width $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{no resonance is possible}$

Freely Emitting Atom

$E_R = \frac{E_\gamma^2}{2mc^2}$

$E_\gamma = E_0 - E_R$
Recoil Effect

\[ I(E) \]

Emission \[ E_{\gamma} - E_R \]

Absorption \[ E_{\gamma} + E_R \]

\[ E_R = \frac{E_{\gamma}^2}{2mc^2} \]

\[ ^{57}\text{Fe}: E_R = 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV} \]
\[ \Gamma_0 = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ eV} \]
The figure shows the intensity $I(E)$ as a function of the transition energy for emission and absorption of gamma quanta. The spectral line shape may be described by the Lorentzian or Breit-Wigner form. The width at half maximum of the spectral line is called the natural line width $\Gamma$ and is determined by the mean life time $\tau = t_{1/2}/\ln 2 = 1.43 \times 10^{-7}$ s for the 14.4 keV level of $^{57}$Fe, for which $\Gamma = h/2\pi\tau = 4.6 \times 10^{-9}$ eV. The symbol $\Gamma$ is used here for the natural linewidth to differentiate from the experimental linewidth, which in actual experiments is always broader than the natural linewidth due to various broadening effects.

If a free atom or molecule with mass $m$ emits or absorbs a gamma quantum of energy $E_\gamma$, a linear momentum with recoil energy $E_R$ is imparted to the atom and molecule, respectively, which according to the formula given in the Figure ($c =$ velocity of light) amounts to $2 \times 10^{-3}$ eV and thus is six orders of magnitude larger than the transition energy $E_\gamma$. The recoil effect reduces the transition energy by $E_R$ for the emission process and increases the transition energy by $E_R$ for the absorption process. Thus the emission and the absorption lines are shifted away from each other by $2E_R \approx 10^6 \Gamma_o$, and in view of the huge difference between $\Gamma$ and $E_R$ an appreciable overlap resonance is not possible. This means, that the Mössbauer effect cannot be observed for freely moving atoms or molecules, i.e. in gaseous or liquid state. Only in the solid state, crystalline or non-crystalline, is a recoilless emission and absorption of gamma quanta possible, and the essentially unshifted transition lines can (at least partially) overlap and nuclear resonance absorption can be observed. The reason is that due to the much larger mass $M$ of a solid particle as compared to that of an atom or a molecule, the linear momentum created by emission and absorption of a gamma quantum practically vanishes. The recoil energy caused by an emitting and absorbing atom tightly bound in the lattice is mostly transferred to the lattice vibrational system. There is a certain probability $f$ (known as Debye-Waller factor or Lamb-Mössbauer factor) that no lattice excitation (zero-phonon processes) takes place during $\gamma$-emission or $\gamma$-absorption. $f$ denotes the fraction of nuclear transitions which occur without recoil. Only for this fraction is the Mössbauer effect observable. Within the Debye model for solids, $f$ increases with decreasing transition energy $E_\gamma$, with decreasing temperature, and with increasing Debye temperature $\theta_D = h\omega_D/2\pi k_B$ ($\omega_D =$ vibrational frequency of Debye oscillator, $k_B =$ Boltzmann factor). $\theta_D$ is a measure for the strength of the bonds between the Mössbauer atom and the lattice. It is high (> room temperature) for metallic materials and low (< room temperature) for soft compounds.
In a solid (e.g. crystal)

the recoil energy $E_R$ is partitioned according to

$$E_R = E_{\text{transl}} + E_{\text{vib}}$$

$E_{\text{transl}}$ refers to the linear momentum imparted to the whole particle (crystal) of mass $M$. $E_{\text{transl}} \ll \Gamma$, since $M \gg m$.

$E_{\text{vib}}$ is converted into phonons (excitation of lattice vibrations). $E_{\text{vib}}$ is quantized. Within the Einstein-model or Debye model:

With probability $f$ (Debye-Waller factor, often denoted as Lamb-Mössbauer factor) the quantum mechanical phonon system remains unchanged (i.e. no excitation of lattice vibrations = zero phonon processes) during emission or absorption of $\gamma$-quanta. Only this parameter $f$ is useful for nuclear resonance absorption (fluorescence) → Mössbauer effect
The theoretical spectrum of the 129 keV gamma ray of Ir$^{191}$ emitted by an atom in iridium metal at low temperature [1]. The Mössbauer spectrometer is sensitive only to the narrow, recoil-free line at zero energy shift, which contains 5.7% of the total area under the curve.

Debye-Waller-Factor

\( f \) is known as the *recoil-free fraction* and denotes the fraction of \( \gamma \)-quanta, which are emitted and absorbed \textit{without recoil}.

The parameter \( f \) is related to the vibrational properties of the crystal lattice

\[
f = \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{<x^2>}{\lambda^2} \right)(2\pi)^2 \right\} = \exp \left\{ (2\pi)^2 \frac{E_\gamma^2 <x^2>}{(hc)^2} \right\}
\]

\(<x^2>\): mean square vibrational amplitude in \( x \) direction

\( \lambda \): wave length of gamma radiation with

\( E_\gamma = h\nu = h\cdot c/\lambda \)
This means:

the weaker the bonding in the crystal,
• the larger is $<x^2>$
• the smaller is $f$
• the smaller the resonance effect

and the larger the transition energy $E_\gamma$
• the smaller is $\lambda$
• the smaller is the resonance effect

Debye-model: For the low-temperature approximation, $T<<\Theta_D$:

$$f = \exp \left[ - \frac{E_R}{k_B \Theta_D} \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\pi^2 T^2}{\Theta_D^2} \right) \right]$$

$k_B$ : Boltzmann constant,
$\Theta_D := \hbar \omega_D / k_B$ = Debye-temperature

$f$ increases
a) with decreasing recoil energy $E_R$
b) with decreasing temperature $T$
c) with increasing Debye-temperature (bondstregth)
Mössbauer-Experiment

Source (e.g. $^{57}$Co/Rh) and absorber ($^{57}$Fe-comp.) are moved relative to each other with Doppler velocity $v = c \left( \frac{\Gamma_0}{E_\gamma} \right)$.

For $^{57}$Fe:

- $\Gamma_0 = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-9}$ eV
- $E_\gamma = 14400$ eV
- $v = 0.096$ mm s$^{-1}$

$c$ = velocity of light
Scheme of Mössbauer Spectrometer

- **Vibrator**
- **Source**
- **Absorber**
- **Cryostat, oven**
- **Servo amplifier**
- **Amplified signal**
- **Waveform generator**
- **Monitored signal**
- **Constant frequency clock**
- **Address control**
- **Multichannel analyser**
- **Pulse amplifier**
- **Detector**
- **Reference signal**
- **Data output** (display, magnetic tape, etc.)
- **Discriminator**

The scheme illustrates the flow of signals in a Mössbauer spectrometer, starting from the source through various components to the data output.
The schematic diagram shows the main components of a Mössbauer spectrometer. The source, for $^{57}$Fe spectroscopy one uses e.g. commercially available $^{57}$Co/Rh, is mounted on the shaft of a *vibrator*. While the source is generally kept at room temperature, the absorber (sample under study) may be thermostated in a cryostat for cooling down to liquid nitrogen or liquid helium temperatures, or for controlled heating in an oven. The $\gamma$-rays are detected by a scintillation counter, gas proportional counter or a semiconductor detector. The pulses from the *detector* are amplified and pass through a *discriminator*, where most of the non-resonant background radiation is rejected, and finally are fed into the open channel address of a *multi-channel analyser* (e.g. a computer) with several hundred channels, which is synchronised with the vibrator making use of the so-called *feed-back control system*. A *constant frequency clock* synchronises a voltage waveform (usually triangular yielding a linear Doppler velocity scale) which serves as a reference signal to the servo-amplifier controlling the electro-mechanical vibrator. The difference between the monitored signal and the reference signal is amplified and drives the vibrator at the same frequency (typically 50 s$^{-1}$) as the channel address advance. Each channel corresponds to a certain relative velocity and is held open for a fixed time interval depending on the frequency and number of channels used. The incoming $\gamma$-counts are collected in their corresponding channels during the sequential accessing e.g. 50 times per second, until satisfactory resolution is reached. The measured Mössbauer spectrum is analysed using special least squares fitting programs.
Mössbauer Spectrometer
The picture shows a typical Mössbauer spectrometer with low temperature facility for cooling the sample down to 4.2 K (boiling point of liquid helium). Further cooling to ca. 1.5 K is possible by pumping on the liquid helium vessel. The cryostat can be furnished with a super-conducting solenoid for measuring the sample in an applied magnetic field. It is also possible to mount a pressure cell inside the cryostat for studying the sample properties under pressure. As will be described below, a miniaturised version of a Mössbauer spectrometer, almost as small as a cigarette box, has been designed and constructed which has successfully been employed in many outdoor applications and quite recently in studying soil and rocks on the surface of Mars (NASA mission 2003/2004).
Correlation of count rate with channel number and relative velocity
a) Mössbauer spectrum of metallic iron taken with a $^{57}$Co/Rh source. The count rate is plotted as function of the channel number. The solid line drawn through the data points represents the least squares fit of magnetic hyperfine pattern to the experimental data points.

b) Velocity as a function of the channel number.
Mössbauer Spectrum
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Recoilless resonant absorption is necessary for maximum overlap of the emission line (E) and absorption line (A). Only for identical materials, e.g. $^{57}$Co diffused into stainless steel as a source and stainless steel containing $^{57}$Fe (to 2.2 % natural abundance) as absorber as the same temperature can total overlap be expected. If, however, the source and the absorber consist of different materials, which is usually the case when studying an iron-containing sample as absorber with a $^{57}$Co/Rh source, the resonance effect may be perturbed due to electric and magnetic *hyperfine interactions* between the nuclei and electric and magnetic fields set up by electrons interacting with the nuclei (see below). Such hyperfine interactions not only shift, but may also split degenerate nuclear levels resulting in several transition lines. The Mössbauer source is always prepared such that it emits a single transition line E and we assume, for the sake of simplicity, that the absorber shows also only one transition line A. But E and A now have slightly modified transition energies $E_\gamma$; the perturbation energies are of the order of $10^{-8}$ eV (comparable to the natural linewidth), which shifts the transition lines away from each other such that the overlap decreases or disappears entirely. Perfect overlap can be restored again by making use of the *Doppler effect*, i.e. by moving the absorber (generally kept fixed) and the source (generally mounted on a vibrator) relative to each other. In the case of $^{57}$Fe spectroscopy, Doppler velocities of up to a few mm s$^{-1}$ are sufficient to make up for the perturbing hyperfine interaction energies and bring emission and absorption lines to perfect overlap, i.e. resonance. The *hyperfine interaction energy* $\varepsilon$ (of interest) is correlated with the Doppler velocity $v$ via $\varepsilon = (v/c) E_\gamma$, and can be measured in this way. The plot of the relative transmission of the gamma radiation as a function of the Doppler velocity $v$ is called the *Mössbauer spectrum*. The schematised Mössbauer spectrum in the above illustration refers to identical source and absorber material; maximum overlap occurs at zero Doppler velocity.
Hyperfine Interactions between Nuclei and Electrons and Mössbauer Parameters

- Electric Monopole Interaction
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{Isomer Shift } \delta \]

- Electric Quadrupole Interaction
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{Quadrupole Splitting } \Delta E_Q \]

- Magnetic Dipole Interaction
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{Magnetic Splitting } \Delta E_M \]
Three kinds of hyperfine interactions may be observed in a Mössbauer spectrum:

**Electric monopole interaction** between protons of the nucleus and electrons (mainly s-electrons) penetrating the nuclear field. The observable Mössbauer parameter is the “isomer shift $\delta$“. Isomer shift values give information on the oxidation state, spin state, and bonding properties such as covalency and electronegativity.

**Electric quadrupole interaction** between the nuclear quadrupole moment and an inhomogeneous electric field at the nucleus. The observable Mössbauer parameter is the “quadrupole splitting $\Delta E_Q$“. The information derived from the quadrupole splitting refers to oxidation state, spin state and site symmetry.

**Magnetic dipole interaction** between the nuclear magnetic dipole moment and a magnetic field at the nucleus. The observable Mössbauer parameter is the “magnetic splitting $\Delta E_M$“. This quantity gives information on the magnetic properties of the material under study.

The following viewgraphs show the conditions, regarding the electronic and the nuclear properties, which lead to the three kinds of hyperfine interactions which may be observed in a Mössbauer spectrum.
## Hyperfine Interactions and Mössbauer Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mössbauer Parameter</th>
<th>Type of Interaction</th>
<th>Information for Chemistry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Isomer Shift $\delta$ (mm/sec) | Electric Monopole (Coulombic) interaction between nucleus (protons) and electrons | Oxidation state  
Electronegativity of ligands  
Character of bonds  
Spin state (HS, IS, LS) |
| Quadruple splitting $\Delta E_Q$ (mm/sec) | Electric quadrupole interaction between nuclear quadrupole moment and inhomogeneous electric field | Molecular symmetry  
Oxidation state  
Character of bonds  
Spin state (HS, IS, LS) |
| Magnetic splitting $\Delta E_M$ (mm/sec) | Magnetic dipole interaction between nuclear magnetic dipole moment and magnetic field | Magnetic interactions e.g. ferromagnetism, antiferromagnetism |
## Conditions for Hyperfine Interactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Interaction</th>
<th>Nuclear Condition</th>
<th>Electronic Condition</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric Monopole interaction</td>
<td>$R^2_a \neq R^2_g$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>\Psi(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Quadrupole interaction</td>
<td>Electric quadrupole moment $eQ \neq 0$ (I $&gt; \frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>EFG $\neq 0$</td>
<td>Nuclear states split into $I + \frac{1}{2}$ substates $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic dipole interaction</td>
<td>Magn. dipole moment $\mu \neq 0$ (I $&gt; 0$)</td>
<td>H $\neq 0$</td>
<td>Nuclear states $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electric Monopole Interaction
Isomer Shift $\delta$

Source (S) \hspace{2cm} Absorber (A)

Nuclear radius $R_e \neq R_g$
Electron density $\rho_S \neq \rho_A$
In a typical Mössbauer experiment, the source (S) material (e.g. $^{57}\text{Co}$ embedded in Rh metal) is generally different from the absorber (A) material under study. The nuclear radius in the excited state (red) is different (in the case of $^{57}\text{Fe}$ it is smaller) than that in the ground state (blue): $R_e \neq R_g$. The electronic structure requirement is only fulfilled if the source and absorber materials are different. In this case the electronic densities set up by all s-electrons (1s, 2s, 3s, etc.) of the electronic shells are different at the nuclei of the source and the absorber: $\rho_S \neq \rho_A$. The result is that the electric monopole interactions (Coulomb interactions) are different in the source and the absorber and therefore affect the nuclear ground and excited state levels to a different extent. This leads to the measured isomer shift $\delta$ as sketched in the next viewgraph.
$E_A - E_S = \delta$
The fictitious energy levels of the ground and excited states of a bare nucleus (no surrounding electrons) are perturbed and shifted by electric monopole interactions. The shifts in the ground and excited states differ because of the different nuclear radii in the two states, which cause different Coulombic interactions. The energy differences $E_S$ and $E_A$ in the source and absorber also differ because of the different electron densities in the source and absorber material. The individual energy differences $E_S$ and $E_A$ cannot be measured individually, a Mössbauer experiment measures only the difference of the transition energies $\delta = E_A - E_S$, which is the isomer shift. This shift appears in the spectrum as the difference between the position of the baricenter of the resonance signal and zero Doppler velocity.

The isomer shift is given by the following expression:

$$\delta = E_A - E_S = \text{const.} \left( \rho_A - \rho_S \right) (R_e^2 - R_g^2), \text{ where } \text{const} = \frac{2}{3} \pi Z e^2.$$ 

The isomer shift depends directly on the s-electron densities (as sum of all s-electron shells), but may be influenced indirectly via shielding effects of p-, d- and f-electrons, which are not capable (if neglecting relativistic effects) of penetrating the nuclear field.

The most valuable information derived from isomer shift data refers to the valence state of a Mössbauer-active atom embedded in a solid material. The following viewgraph shows ranges of isomer shift values expected for different oxidation and spin states of iron.
Measuring the Isomer Shift by making use of the Doppler effect

\[ \Delta E = E_A - E_S \]
\[ \Delta E(S) = E_S - E_0 = C |\Psi(0)|_S^2 (R_e^2 - R_g^2), \quad C = \frac{2}{3} \pi Z e^2 \]

\[ \Delta E(A) = E_A - E_0 = C |\Psi(0)|_A^2 (R_e^2 - R_g^2) \]

\[ \delta = \Delta E(A) - \Delta E(S) = E_A - E_S \]

\[ \delta = C \left\{ |\Psi(0)|_A^2 - |\Psi(0)|_S^2 \right\} (R_e^2 - R_g^2) \]

If source is unchanged: \[ |\Psi(0)|_S^2 = \text{const} \]

\[ \delta = C' \left\{ |\Psi(0)|_A^2 \right\} (R_e^2 - R_g^2) \]

**Note:**

For \(^{57}\text{Fe} \): \((R_e^2 - R_g^2) < 0\)
Influence on $|\Psi(0)|^2$: 

a) direct

Change of electron population in $s$-orbitals (mainly valence $s$-orbitals) changes directly $|\Psi(0)|^2$

b) indirect (shielding by $p$-, $d$-, $f$- electrons)

Increase of electron density in $p$-, $d$-, $f$-orbitals increases shielding effect for $s$-electrons vs. nuclear charge → $s$-electron cloud expands, $|\Psi(0)|^2$ at nucleus decreases
Radial Part of Hydrogen Eigenfunctions for \( n = 1, 2, 3 \)
Electron densities at $r=0$ for different configurations of an Fe atom [1,2]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrons per cubic Bohr radius</th>
<th>$3d^8$</th>
<th>$3d^7$ Fe$^+$</th>
<th>$3d^6$ Fe$^{2+}$</th>
<th>$3d^5$ Fe$^{3+}$</th>
<th>$3d^64s^2$ free atom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\psi_{1s}(0)</td>
<td>^2$</td>
<td>5378.005</td>
<td>5377.973</td>
<td>5377.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\psi_{2s}(0)</td>
<td>^2$</td>
<td>493.953</td>
<td>493.873</td>
<td>493.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\psi_{3s}(0)</td>
<td>^2$</td>
<td>67.524</td>
<td>67.764</td>
<td>68.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\psi_{4s}(0)</td>
<td>^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \sum_{n}</td>
<td>\psi_{ns}(0)</td>
<td>^2$</td>
<td>11878.9</td>
<td>11879.2</td>
<td>11879.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Isomer Shifts $\delta$ of Iron Compounds

$$\delta = C' \{|\Psi(0)|_A^2\}(R_e^2 - R_g^2)$$

**Note:**
For $^{57}\text{Fe}$: $(R_e^2 - R_g^2) < 0$

Increase of $\{|\Psi(0)|_A^2\}$ $\Rightarrow$ $\delta$ more negative
The table shows ranges of isomer shift values expected for different oxidation and spin states. The most positive isomer shift occurs with iron(I) compounds with spin $S = 3/2$. In this case, the seven d-electrons exert a very strong shielding of the s-electrons towards the nuclear charge, this reduces markedly the s-electron density $\rho_A$ giving a strongly negative quantity ($\rho_A - \rho_S$). As the nuclear factor $(R_e^2 - R_g^2)$ is negative for $^{57}\text{Fe}$, the measured isomer shift becomes strongly positive. At the other end of the isomer shift scale are strongly negative values expected for iron(VI) compounds with spin $S = 1$. The reason is that iron(VI) compounds have only two d-electrons, the shielding effect for s-electrons is very weak in this case and the s-electron density $\rho_A$ at the nucleus becomes relatively high which – multiplied by the negative nuclear factor $(R_e^2 - R_g^2)$ – pushes the isomer shift value strongly in a negative direction.

It is seen from the table that some isomer shift regions do not overlap, e.g. iron(II) high spin compounds with $S = 2$ can be easily assigned from a Mössbauer spectrum. In other cases with more or less strong overlapping $\delta$ values unambiguous assignment to certain oxidation and spin states may not be possible. In such cases the quadrupole splitting parameter $\Delta E_Q$ will be included in the analysis and leads to a conclusive characterisation in most cases.
Isomer shifts of Gold Compounds from $^{197}$Au Mössbauer Spectroscopy

$^{197}$Au: $(R_e^2 - R_g^2) > 0$

Au: $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}5d^{10}6s^1$

Au(I): $5d^{10}$

Au(III): $5d^8$

Au(V): $5d^6$
Isomer shifts of Iridium Compounds from $^{193}\text{Ir}$ Mössbauer Spectroscopy

$^{193}\text{Ir} : (R_e^2 - R_g^2) > 0$

(F. Wagner et al.)
Electric Quadrupole Interaction
Quadrupole Splitting $\Delta E_Q$
Electric quadrupole interaction occurs if at least one of the nuclear states involved possesses a quadrupole moment $eQ$ (which is the case for nuclear states with spin $I > 1/2$) and if the electric field at the nucleus is inhomogeneous. In the case of $^{57}$Fe the first excited state (14.4 keV state) has a spin $I = 3/2$ and therefore also an electric quadrupole moment.

If the electric field gradient (EFG) is non-zero, for instance due to a non-cubic valence electron distribution and/or non-cubic lattice site symmetry, electric quadrupole interaction as visualized by the precession of the quadrupole moment vector about the field gradient axis sets in and splits the degenerate $I = 3/2$ level into two substates with magnetic spin quantum numbers $m_I = \pm 3/2$ and $\pm 1/2$. The energy difference between the two substates $\Delta E_Q$ is observed in the spectrum as the separation between the two resonance lines (see next scheme).

These two resonance lines in the spectrum refer to the two transitions between the two substates of the split excited state and the unsplit ground state. The ground state with $I = 1/2$ has no quadrupole moment and remains therefore unsplit, but still twofold degenerate. This degeneracy can be lifted by magnetic dipole interaction (Zeeman effect). The same holds for the two substates of the excited $I = 3/2$ level, which are still twofold degenerate after electric quadrupole interaction. This becomes apparent by looking at the expression for the quadrupolar interaction energies $E_Q$ derived from perturbation theory:

$$E_Q(I, m_I) = (eQV_{zz}/4I(2I-1)[3m_I^2 - I(I+1)] \text{ (for axial symmetry).}$$
Electric Quadrupole Interaction
Quadrupole Splitting $\Delta E_Q \sim eQ \cdot \nabla E$

Oxidation state
Spin state,
Symmetry
For $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, electric quadrupole interaction in the absence of magnetic dipole interaction leads to a doublet, the separation of the two resonance lines giving the quadrupole interaction energy $\Delta E_Q$, which is proportional to the quadrupole moment $eQ$ and the electric field gradient (EFG). The electric field $E$ at the nucleus is the negative gradient of the potential, $-\nabla V$, and the electric field gradient EFG is given by the nine components $V_{ij} = (\partial^2 V / \partial i \partial j)$ ($i,j,k = x,y,z$) of the 3x3 second rank EFG tensor. Only five of these components are independent because of the symmetric form of the tensor, i.e. $V_{ij} = V_{ji}$ and because of Laplace’s equation which requires that the tensor be traceless: $\sum V_{ii} = 0$. In the principal axes system the off-diagonal elements vanish, and for axial symmetry the EFG is then solely given by the tensor component $V_{zz}$. For non-axial symmetry the asymmetry parameter $\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy}) / V_{zz}$ is required in addition.

In principle, there are two sources which can contribute to the total EFG: charges (or dipoles) on distant ions surrounding the Mössbauer atom in non-cubic symmetry, usually termed lattice contribution to the EFG; anisotropic (non-cubic) electron distribution in the valence shell of the Mössbauer atom, usually called valence electron contribution to the EFG.

The electric quadrupole splitting gives information on the oxidation state, the spin state and the local symmetry of the Mössbauer atom.

Note that the isomer shift parameter $\delta$ is given by the distance of the baricenter of the quadrupole doublet from zero Doppler velocity.
A point charge \( q \) at a distance \( r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \) from the nucleus causes a potential \( V(r) = \frac{q}{r} \) at the nucleus. The electric field \( E \) at the nucleus is the negative gradient of the potential, \(- \nabla V\), and the electric field gradient is given by

\[
EFG = \tilde{\nabla}E = -\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\nabla}V = \begin{vmatrix}
V_{xx} & V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\
V_{yx} & V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\
V_{zx} & V_{zy} & V_{zz}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

where

\[
V_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial i \partial j} = q(3ij - r^2 \delta_{ij})r^{-5}, (i,j = x,y,z)
\]

Only five \( V_{ij} \) components are independent, because:

- symmetric form of the tensor, i.e. \( V_{ij} = V_{ji} \),

- Laplace: traceless tensor \( \sum_i V_{ii} = 0, \quad i = x, y, z \)
Principal axes system:

Choose $|V_{zz}| \geq |V_{xx}| \geq |V_{yy}|$

The EFG is given by two independent parameters:

- **Main tensor component** $V_{zz}$

- **Asymmetry parameter** $\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}$

Range of $\eta$: $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$

For axial systems (tetragonal, trigonal):

$V_{xx} = V_{yy} \rightarrow \eta = 0$
Two kinds of contributions to the EFG:

\[(EFG)^\text{total} = (EFG)^\text{val} + (EFG)^\text{lat}\]

or in the principal axes system and \(\eta = 0\):

\[(V_{zz})^\text{total} = (V_{zz})^\text{val} + (V_{zz})^\text{lat}\]

The **lattice contribution** \((V_{zz})^\text{lat}\) arises from non-cubic arrangement of the next nearest neighbors,

\[(V_{zz})^\text{lat} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i r_i^{-3} (3 \cos^2 \vartheta_i - 1)\]

\(q_i\): charge on ligand \(i\)

\(r_i\): distance of ligand \(i\) from Mössbauer central atom
The \textbf{valence contribution} \((V_{zz})^{\text{val}}\) arises from anisotropic (noncubic) electron population in the molecular orbitals (or atomic orbitals in simple ligand field theory):

\[
(V_{zz})^{\text{val}} = -e \sum_i \langle \psi_i | (3 \cos^2 \vartheta_i - 1)r^{-3} | \psi_i \rangle
\]

\[
\left\langle r_i^{-3} \right\rangle = \int R(r)r^{-3}R(r)r^2 dr
\]

= expectation value of \(1/r^3\), can be factorized out:

\[
(V_{zz})^{\text{val}} = -e \sum_i \langle \psi_i | (3 \cos^2 \vartheta_i - 1) | \psi_i \rangle \left\langle r_i^{-3} \right\rangle
\]

For evaluation of \(\eta^{\text{val}}\) in case of non-axial symmetry one must work out:

\[
(V_{xx})^{\text{val}} = -e \sum_i \langle \psi_i | (3 \sin^2 \vartheta \cos^2 \varphi - 1) | \psi_i \rangle \left\langle r_i^{-3} \right\rangle
\]

\[
(V_{yy})^{\text{val}} = -e \sum_i \langle \psi_i | (3 \sin^2 \vartheta \cos^2 \varphi - 1) | \psi_i \rangle \left\langle r_i^{-3} \right\rangle
\]
Sternheimer Shielding Factors:

The total EFG is described by

$$(V_{zz})^{\text{total}} = (1-\gamma_{\infty})(V_{zz})^{\text{lat}} + (1-R)(V_{zz})^{\text{val}}$$ (for axial systems)

$(1-\gamma_{\infty})$: Sternheimer antishielding factor (~10 for $^{57}\text{Fe}$), compensates for distortion of electron shell due to non-cubic charge distribution in the near crystal lattice.

$(1-R)$: Sternheimer antishielding factor (~0.25-0.35 for $^{57}\text{Fe}$), compensates distortion of inner electron shell by anisotropic valence electron distribution.
Diagonal \((EFG)^{\text{val}}\) tensor elements for p- and d-electrons (per electron)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbital</th>
<th>((V_{xx})_{\text{val}}) (e\langle r^{-3}\rangle)</th>
<th>((V_{yy})_{\text{val}}) (e\langle r^{-3}\rangle)</th>
<th>((V_{zz})_{\text{val}}) (e\langle r^{-3}\rangle)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-Electrons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_x)</td>
<td>(-4/5)</td>
<td>(+2/5)</td>
<td>(+2/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_y)</td>
<td>(+2/5)</td>
<td>(-4/5)</td>
<td>(+2/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_z)</td>
<td>(+2/5)</td>
<td>(+2/5)</td>
<td>(-4/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-Electrons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{xy})</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
<td>(+4/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{xz})</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
<td>(+4/7)</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{yz})</td>
<td>(+4/7)</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{x^2-y^2})</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
<td>(-2/7)</td>
<td>(+4/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{z^2})</td>
<td>(+2/7)</td>
<td>(+2/7)</td>
<td>(-4/7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quadrupole Splitting

In the principal axes system with z as axis of quantization:

**Hamiltonian:**

\[
\hat{H}_Q = \hat{Q} \cdot \hat{\nabla} E
\]

\[
eQ \frac{eQ}{4I(2I-1)} \left( V_{zz} \hat{I}_z^2 + V_{xx} \hat{I}_x^2 + V_{yy} \hat{I}_y^2 \right)
\]

eQ: electric quadrupole moment, \((3\times3)\) second rank tensor operator with elements

\[
Q_{ij} = \int \rho_n(r) (x_i x_j - \delta_{ij} r^2) d\tau
\]

\(\rho_n: \) nuclear charge

\(x_i, x_j: \) cartesian coordinates
In the principal axes system (off-diagonal elements are zero) and with $z$ as quantisation axis:

$$(z = r \cdot \cos \vartheta)$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{e} \int \rho_n(r)(3z^2 - r^2) d\tau = \frac{1}{e} \int \rho_n(r)(3r^2 \cos^2 \vartheta - r^2) d\tau$$

$\hat{I}$: nuclear spin operator

$\hat{I}_x, \hat{I}_y, \hat{I}_z$: operators of the nuclear spin projections onto the principal axes

With $\hat{I}_\pm = \hat{I}_x \pm i\hat{I}_y$ shift operators we obtain

$$\hat{H}_Q = \frac{eQV_{zz}}{4I(2I-1)} \left[ 3\hat{I}_z^2 - \hat{I}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} (\hat{I}_+^2 + \hat{I}_-^2) \right]$$

First order perturbation theory for degenerate systems yields the matrix

$$\left\| \langle I, m_I | \hat{H}_Q | I, m'_I \rangle \right\| = 0$$
and the eigenvalues

\[
E_Q(I, m_I) = \frac{eQV_{zz}}{4I(2I-1)} \left[ 3m_I^2 - I(I+1) \right] \left( 1 + \frac{\eta^2}{3} \right)^{1/2}
\]

with \( m_I = +I, +I-1, \ldots, -I \)

For \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) with \( I_e = 3/2, I_g = 1/2 \):

\[
E_Q(3/2, \pm 3/2) = \frac{3eQV_{zz}}{12} \quad \text{for } I = 3/2, m_I = \pm 3/2
\]

\[
E_Q(3/2, \pm 1/2) = -\frac{3eQV_{zz}}{12} \quad \text{for } I = 3/2, m_I = \pm 1/2
\]

and for the \textbf{quadrupole splitting energy}

\[
\Delta E_Q = E_Q(3/2, \pm 3/2) - E_Q(3/2, \pm 1/2) = \frac{eQV_{zz}}{2}
\]

(in axially symmetric systems, \( \eta = 0 \))
Example: $[\text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{3+}$, $^6\text{A}_1$

Free Ion

$[\text{ML}_6]$ (O$_h$)

$|z^2>$

$|x^2–y^2>$

$|x z>$

$|y z>$

$|x y>$

$E_{\text{FG}}^{\text{lat}} = 0$

$E_{\text{FG}}^{\text{val}} = 0$
FeSO$_4$•7H$_2$O
[Fe(H$_2$O)$_6$]$^{2+}$
Fe(II)-HS, S=2

K$_4$[Fe(CN)$_6$]
Fe(II)-LS, S=0
cubic

Na$_2$[Fe(CN)$_5$NO]
Fe(II)-LS, S=0
tetragonal
FeSO₄·7H₂O: [Fe(H₂O)₆]²⁺

Jahn-Teller-Distortion

**Oₘ (⁵T₂) | D₄h**

- compressed
  - |z²>
  - |x²−y²>
- elongated
  - |z²>
  - |x²−y²>

**Free Ion**

- e₉
- t₂₉

EFG⁰ₚ ≈ 0
EFG⁰ₐ ≈ 0, small

compressed ≠ 0, small

elongated ≠ 0, small
$K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ ($O_h$)

$Na_2[Fe(CN)_5(NO)]$ ($C_{4v}$)

$E_{FG}^{\text{lat}} = 0$

$E_{FG}^{\text{val}} = 0$

$\ldots \neq 0$

$\ldots = 0$
Valence electron contribution \((EFG)_{\text{val}}\) to Electric Field Gradient of Iron Compounds

\[ \Delta \langle P \rangle \]

**\(Fe^{3+} \quad \)“High Spin”**

\[ q_{\text{Val}} = 0 \]

\[ |z^2\rangle, |x^2-y^2\rangle \]

**\(Fe^{2+} \quad \)“Low Spin”**

\[ q_{\text{Val}} = 0 \]

\[ |xy\rangle, |xz\rangle, |yz\rangle \]

**\(Fe^{2+} \quad \)“High Spin” mit Jahn-Teller-Effekt**

\[ q'_{\text{Val}} 
eq 0 \]

\[ |x^2-y^2\rangle, |z^2\rangle \]

**\(Fe^{3+} \quad \)“Low Spin” mit Jahn-Teller-Effekt**

\[ q_{\text{Val}} \neq 0 \]

\[ |xy\rangle, |xz\rangle, |yz\rangle, |xy\rangle \]

- \(a\) Streckung in \(Z\)-Richtung
- \(b\) Stauchung in \(Z\)-Richtung

\[ q''_{\text{Val}} = 2q'_{\text{Val}} \]
Magnetic Dipole Interaction
Magnetic Splitting $\Delta E_M$

$\vec{H}$

$\vec{E}$

$I = 3/2$

$+3/2$

$+1/2$

$-1/2$

$-3/2$

$I = 1/2$

$-1/2$

$+1/2$

$\Delta I = \pm 1$, $\Delta m_I = 0, \pm 1$
The requirements for magnetic dipole interaction to be observed are that (i) the nuclear states involved possess a magnetic dipole moment and (ii) a magnetic field is present at the nucleus. A nuclear state with spin $I > 1/2$ possesses a magnetic dipole moment $\mu$. This is the case for both the ground state with $I = 1/2$ and the first excited state with $I = 3/2$ of $^{57}\text{Fe}$. Magnetic dipole interaction (visualized as the precession of the magnetic dipole moment vector about the axis of the magnetic field) leads to splitting of the states $|I, m_I\rangle$ into $2I+1$ substates characterized by the magnetic spin quantum numbers $m_I$. Thus the excited state with $I = 3/2$ is split into four, and the ground state with $I = 1/2$ into two substates. These substates are no longer degenerate. The energies of the sublevels are given from first-order perturbation theory by

$$E_M(m_I) = -\frac{\mu H m_I}{I} = -g_N \beta_N H m_I,$$

where $g_N$ is the nuclear Landé factor and $\beta_N$ the nuclear Bohr magneton.

Note that the sign of the magnetic spin quantum numbers $m_I$ of the sublevels have a different sequence in the excited state and the ground state, this being due to the different signs of the magnetic moments of the two states. The allowed gamma transitions between the sublevels of the excited state and those of the ground state are given by the selection rules for magnetic dipole transitions: $\Delta I = \pm 1$, $\Delta m_I = 0, \pm 1$. The six allowed transitions are shown in the picture.
\[ E_M(m_I) = -\mu H m_I / I \]

Ferro-, Antiferro-, Ferri-magnetism
The separation between the lines 2 and 4 (also between 3 and 5) refers to the magnetic dipole splitting of the ground state. The separation between lines 5 and 6 (also between 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 4 and 5) refers to the magnetic dipole splitting of the excited $I = 3/2$ state. The magnetic hyperfine splitting enables one to determine the effective magnetic field (size and direction) acting at the nucleus. Such a field can be externally applied. But many substances can also create a magnetic field of their own through various mechanisms, e.g.

the **Fermi contact field** $H^c$ arises from a net spin-up or spin-down s-electron density at the nucleus as a consequence of spin polarization of inner filled s-shells by spin-polarized partially filled outer shells;

a contribution $H^L$ may arise from the **orbital motion** of valence electrons with the orbital momentum quantum number $L$;

a contribution $H^d$, called **spin-dipolar field**, may arise from the total electron spin of the atom under consideration.

All contributions may be present and add to the total effective magnetic field $H_{\text{eff}} = H^c + H^L + H^d$. By applying an external magnetic field of known size and direction one can determine the size and the direction of the intrinsic effective magnetic field $H_{\text{eff}}$ of the material under investigation.

Magnetic dipole interaction and electric quadrupole interaction may be present in a material simultaneously (together with the electric monopole interaction which is always present). The perturbations are treated depending on their relative strengths. In the case of relatively weak quadrupole interaction the nuclear sublevels $|I, m_I\rangle$ arising from magnetic dipole splitting are additionally shifted by the quadrupole interaction energies $E_Q(I, m_I)$; as a result, the sublevels of the excited $I = 3/2$ state are no longer equally spaced. The shifts by $E_Q$ are upwards or downwards depending on the direction of the EFG. This enables one to determine the sign of the quadrupole splitting parameter $\Delta E_Q$. 
A magnetic field $H_{\text{int}}(r = 0)$ at the nucleus can originate in various ways:

$$H_{\text{int}} = H_C + H_D + H_L + H_{\text{ext}}$$

= total internal magnetic field

-Fermi Contact Interaction $\rightarrow H_C$:

Electron spin $S$ of valence shell (e.g. $S = \frac{5}{2}$ of Fe$^{3+}$) polarizes the s-electron density at the nucleus: core polarization

$|\Psi(0)\downarrow|^2 > |\Psi(0)\uparrow|^2$ magnetic field $H_C \neq 0$
The direct coupling between the nucleus and $H_C$ is called “Fermi contact interaction”

- Spin dipolar interaction → $H_D$

The magnetic moment of the electron spin $S$ gives rise to dipolar interaction with the nucleus. $S$ causes a field at $r = 0$ of

$$H_D = -2 \mu_B \left\langle 3 \bar{r} (\vec{S} \cdot \bar{r}) r^{-5} - \vec{S} r^{-3} \right\rangle$$

or

$$H_D = -2 \mu_B \left\langle S \right\rangle \left\langle r^{-3} \right\rangle \left\langle 3 \cos^2 \vartheta - 1 \right\rangle$$

for axial symmetry

($\vartheta$ is the angle between the spin $S$-vector and the principal axis $z$)
- Orbital dipolar interaction $\rightarrow H_L$

Electrons with orbital moment $L \neq 0$ give rise to an orbital magnetic moment accompanied by a magnetic field

$$H_L = -2\mu_B <r^{-3}><L>$$

$<L>$: expectation value of orbital angular momentum.

**Pure magnetic dipole interaction**

Magnetic dipole interaction (Zeeman effect) is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_M = -\hat{\mu} \cdot \hat{H} = -g_N \beta_N \hat{I} \cdot \hat{H}$$

$g_N$: nuclear Landé-factor

$\beta_N = \frac{e\hbar}{2Mc}$ nuclear Bohr magneton

If $\hat{H} \parallel \hat{z}$:

$$\hat{H}_M = -g_N \beta_N \hat{H}_z$$

First order perturbation theory yields the matrix (elements) equations:

$$\left\langle I, m'_I \left| \hat{H}_M \right| I, m_I \right\rangle - E_M \delta m'_I m_I = 0$$

with eigenvalues

$$E_M(I,m_I) = -g_N \beta_N Hm_I$$
Combined Magnetic Dipole and Electric Quadrupole Interaction:

Often both perturbations – magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole interactions – are present.

The Hamiltonian then writes:

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_M + \hat{H}_Q \\
\hat{H}_Q = A \left[ 3\hat{I}_z^2 - \hat{I}^2 + \eta(\hat{I}_x^2 - \hat{I}_y^2) \right] \\
with \ A = eQV_{zz} / 4I(2I-1) \\
\hat{H}_Q = -g\beta_N H \left( \hat{I}_z \cos \vartheta + \hat{I}_x \sin \vartheta \cos \phi + \hat{I}_y \sin \vartheta \sin \phi \right)
\]
The electric quadrupole interaction is usually much weaker than the magnetic dipole interaction, i.e. it can be treated as a perturbation of the magnetic dipole splitting:

\[ \hat{H}_Q \ll \hat{H}_M \]

For \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) and axial systems (\(\eta = 0\)):

\[
E_{M,Q}(I, m_I) = -g_N \beta_N H m_I + (-1)^{|m_I|+1/2} \left( eQ V_{zz} / 8 \right) (3 \cos^2 \vartheta - 1)
\]
Combined magn. dipole and electr. quadrupole interaction
Information from Magnetic Dipole Splitting

$H_{\text{int}}$ normally fluctuates (changes the direction) with $\tau(H_{\text{int}})$ magnetic hyperfine interaction in Mössbauer spectrum observable only if

$$\tau(H_{\text{int}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\omega_{\text{Larmor}}} \quad > \quad \tau_N$$

Then $|H_{\text{int}}|$ is a specific property of the material.

Some examples:
| Para-, Diamagnetism | \( |H_{\text{int}}| \) |
|---------------------|------------------|
| \( \alpha \)-FeOOH orders at RT |
| \( \beta \)-FeOOH orders at \( \sim 80K \) |
| \( \gamma \)-FeOOH orders at \( \sim 30K \) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha )-Fe(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>( 520 \text{ kOe (RT)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest ( H_{\text{int}} ) and ( T_C ) of all Fe-oxides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 )</th>
<th>Two ( H_{\text{int}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inverse spinel, ( \text{Fe}^{\text{III}} )- tetrahedral, ( \text{Fe}^{\text{II,III}} ) – octahedral with fast electron transfer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Applications
Transition Metal Compounds

- Valence State
- Structural Properties
- Bonding Properties
- Solid State Reactions
- Mixed Valency
- Spin Crossover
- Magnetic Properties
Prussian Blue – Turnbull‘s Blue

E. Fluck et al. 1963

A: \( \text{Fe}^{3+} + [\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{III}_4 [\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{CN})_6]_3 \)

B: \( \text{Fe}^{2+} + [\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-} \rightarrow A \quad (k_e >> 1/\tau_n) \)
Soon after the discovery of the *recoilless nuclear resonance absorption of γ-rays* (Mössbauer effect) mainly physicists and chemists begun to explore the applicability of the new resonance effect to solve problems in solid state research. Fluck, Kerler and Neuwirth reported already in 1963 on the successful application of the new technique to distinguish between the cyano complexes of iron known as Prussian Blue (PB) and Turnbull’s Blue (TB). PB is prepared by adding a solution of an iron(III) salt to a solution of [Fe$^{II}$(CN)$_6$]$^{4-}$, and TB by adding a solution of an iron(II) salt to a solution of [Fe$^{III}$(CN)$_6$]$^{3-}$. For a long time one had considered them as chemically different compounds, Prussian Blue with [Fe$^{II}$(CN)$_6$]$^{4-}$ anions and Turnbull’s Blue with [Fe$^{III}$(CN)$_6$]$^{3-}$ anions, according to the different way of preparing them. However, the Mössbauer spectra recorded by Fluck, Kerler and Neuwirth were nearly identical for both PB and TB showing only the presence of [Fe$^{II}$(CN)$_6$]$^{4-}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in the high spin state. This could be confirmed by use of K$_4$[Fe$^{II}$(CN)$_6$] and K$_3$[Fe$^{III}$(CN)$_6$] as reference compounds. The explanation is given in the schemes A and B: Immediately after adding a solution of Fe$^{2+}$ to a solution of [Fe$^{III}$(CN)$_6$]$^{3-}$ a rapid electron transfer takes place from Fe$^{2+}$ to the anion [Fe$^{II}$(CN)$_6$]$^{3-}$ with subsequent precipitation of the same material as in scheme A.

Another very early and famous example of successful application of Mössbauer spectroscopy is the determination of the molecular structure of Fe$_3$(CO)$_{12}$. 
Possible Structures from X–Ray Diffraction
(Erickson, Fairhall 1965)

Fe₃(CO)₁₂

● Fe
○ CO
In 1965 Erickson and Fairhall suggested, on the basis of single crystal X-ray diffraction, three possible molecular structures for \( \text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12} \). In all cases the iron atoms form a triangle, but with different surroundings by the CO groups. In the upper two structures the three iron atoms have identical surroundings, the Mössbauer spectrum is expected to show only one type of resonance signal. The lower structure has two identical iron positions and a different one for the third iron atom. In this case the Mössbauer spectrum is expected to show two different types of resonance signals with an area ratio of 2:1. A Mössbauer effect study performed by Greenwood and Greatrex in 1969 indeed showed two types of resonance signals, a quadrupole doublet A and a singlet B with an area ratio of 2:1 confirming the presence of two types of iron positions in \( \text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12} \).
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectrum (80K)
(Greenwood, Greatrex 1969)
### Effect of $\pi$-Backdonation in $[\text{Fe(CN)}_5X^{n-}]^{(3+n)-}$

| Ligand X      | $\delta$/mm s$^{-1}$ | $d_{\pi} \rightarrow p_{\pi}$ | d-shield | $|\Psi_S(0)|^2$ |
|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| NO$^+$        | 0.00                  |                                |          |               |
| CO            | +0.15                 |                                |          |               |
| SO$_3^{2-}$   | +0.22                 |                                |          |               |
| P(C$_6$H$_5$)$_3$ | +0.23             |                                |          |               |
| NO$_2^-$      | +0.26                 |                                |          |               |
| Sb(C$_6$H$_5$)$_3$ | +0.26              |                                |          |               |
| NH$_3$        | +0.26                 |                                |          |               |
| As(C$_6$H$_5$)$_3$ | +0.29            |                                |          |               |
| H$_2$O        | +0.31                 |                                |          |               |

\[ \delta \sim |\Psi_S(0)|^2 \frac{\Delta R}{R} \]

$^{57}\text{Fe}$: $\frac{\Delta R}{R} < 0$
This example demonstrates that Mössbauer spectroscopy can help to characterize chemical bond properties. Taking from the literature the isomer shift data for the pentacyano complexes of iron(II) with a different sixth ligand X and normalising the isomer shifts to that of the pentacyanonitrosylferrate complex as zero point, one finds the ordering given in the following table which expresses the varying effects of $d_\pi - p_\pi$ backdonation for the different sixth ligand X.

The isomer shift values become more positive on going from NO$^+$ to H$_2$O. The reason is that in the same ordering the strength of $d_\pi - p_\pi$ backdonation decreases causing an increasing d-electron density residing near the iron centre and thus effecting stronger shielding of s-electrons by d-electrons, which finally creates lower s-electron density at the nucleus in the case of H$_2$O as compared to NO$^+$. Keeping in mind that the nuclear factor $\Delta R/R$ is negative for $^{57}$Fe explains the increasingly positive isomer shift values in the given sequence from NO$^+$ to H$_2$O.
Effect of ligand electronegativity

![Graph showing the effect of ligand electronegativity on some iron compounds. The x-axis represents Pauling electronegativity, and the y-axis represents δ/mm s⁻¹. Various iron compounds are marked on the graph, including FeSiF₆·6H₂O, FeF₆, FeCl₂·4H₂O, FeCl₂·2H₂O, FeCl₂·H₂O, FeCl₂, FeBr₂, and FeI₂.](image)
The electronegativity increases from iodine to fluorine. In the same ordering the 4s-electron population decreases and as a direct consequence the s-electron density at the iron nucleus decreases, and due to the fact that \( (R_a^2 - R_g) < 0 \) for \(^{57}\text{Fe} \) the isomer shift increases from iodide to fluoride.
\[
\gamma\text{-Radiolysis of FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O (300K)}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow H\cdot + \cdot\text{OH}
\]

\[
[\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\cdot\text{OH})]^{2+} \rightarrow [\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{OH}]^{2+}
\]
Ligand Exchange Reaction
FeSO$_4$$\cdot$7H$_2$O / KCN (1:6) / 5 $^0$C

Pellet of polycrystalline mixture of FeSO$_4$$\cdot$7H$_2$O / KCN (1:6) reacted at 5 $^0$C for different length of time. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded at room temperature.
Ligand Exchange Reaction $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} / \text{KCN} (1:6) / 5\,^\circ\text{C}$

Analysis of spectrum after 720 min
Ligand Exchange Reaction: $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O + KCN \rightarrow Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$

Area fractions from Mössbauer spectra as a function of reaction time.

- **A**: $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$
- **B**: $[Fe(CN)_5(H_2O)]^{3-}$
- **C**: $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$
Mixed-Valence Biferrocenes

Depending on $R$:

- "localized“ ferrocene – ferrocenium
- "delocalized“ $\Rightarrow$ fast fluctuation ($k > 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$)
  Time-averaged species
Mixed-valence compounds are known to possess two or more transition metal ions with different oxidation states. The picture shows the example of mixed-valence biferrocenes. Depending on the nature of the substituent R, the iron centres have either static but different electronic structures referred to as “localized” ferrocene and ferricinium, respectively. Or a rapid electron transfer between the two iron centres takes place and as a result of the fast fluctuation one observes a time-averaged species with an electronic structure between the two localized species. With the help of $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy one has studied the biferrocenes containing ethyl groups as R substituents as a function of temperature. At 115 K, the spectrum shows two well resolved quadrupole doublets, the light-blue one being characteristic of ferrocene-like iron, the red one of ferrocinium-like iron. With increasing temperature an electron fluctuation sets in and the doublets of the two localized species turn into one quadrupole doublet (shown in green at 287 K) of a time-averaged species, the parameter values of which are different from those of the two localized species. From the sharpness of the quadrupole doublet of the time-averaged species one can conclude that the electron fluctuation rate must be faster than $10^7$ s$^{-1}$, with reference to the time-window of $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy given by the lifetime of the excited 14.4 keV nuclear state.

This example demonstrates the thermally induced transition between localized and delocalized electronic structures in a mixed-valence organometallic compound.
$R = \text{Ethyl}$

Ferrocene-like Fe
Ferrocenium-like Fe
Fast fluctuation
($k > 10^{+7} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

H. Sano et al. 1981;
D.N. Hendrickson et al. 1985
$^{151}\text{Eu Mössbauer Spectra of EuNiP}$

Another example showing mixed-valency and thermally induced transition between localized and delocalized valence states is the intermetallic compound EuNi. With $^{151}$Eu Mössbauer spectroscopy one observes at room temperature still two well resolved signals, one being typical for Eu$^{2+}$ (green) and the other one for Eu$^{3+}$ (blue). With increasing temperature to well above 400 K the electron fluctuation between Eu$^{2+}$ and Eu$^{3+}$ becomes faster than the time-window of $^{151}$Eu Mössbauer spectroscopy and one observes a time-averaged oxidation state of Eu$^{2.5+}$ (red).
Valence Fluctuation in

\[ [L \text{Fe}^{	ext{III}}\text{Co}^{	ext{III}}\text{Fe}^{	ext{II}}L]^2^+ \]

\[ k \sim 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1} \]

Bill, Trautwein, Wieghardt; JACS 1999
Another interesting example of valence fluctuation and temperature dependent transition between localized and delocalized electronic structures was reported by Bill, Trautwein and Wieghardt to occur in a trinuclear transition metal compound. In this case the electron fluctuation takes place between two iron centres of different oxidation states and separated by a diamagnetic Co(III) ion. The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra clearly show that at sufficiently low temperatures, i.e. 5 K, the two iron centres are reflected as localized oxidation states with a well resolved doublet for Fe(III)-low spin (red) and a poorly resolved doublet for Fe(II)-low spin (light-blue). At higher temperatures the intensities of these two resonance signals decrease at the favour of a new resonance signal, another quadrupole doublet (green), arising from a time-averaged species where both iron centres are in an intermediate oxidation state as a result of a fast electron fluctuation through the bridging diamagnetic central Co(III) ion.
Characterisation of Nickel Compounds by $^{61}$Ni Mössbauer Spectroscopy
Decay Scheme of $^{61}$Ni

$^{61}$Co $^{99m}$

$\beta^-$

100%

$5/2^-$

$67.4$

$\gamma$

$3/2^-$

$^{61}$Ni

$^{61}$Cu $^{3.32h}$

$\beta^+$, EC

1%

53%
$^{61}\text{Ni Source Production}$

$^{62}\text{Ni}(\gamma,p)^{61}\text{Co}$

$^{61}\text{Co}$: $t_{1/2} = 99 \text{ min}$  
$E_\gamma = 67.4 \text{ keV}$

Activation with Bremsstrahlung from the Electron beam of the MAinz MIcrotron (MAMI)
### $^{61}$Ni Isomer Shifts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Isomer State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaNiN</td>
<td>Ni(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiF$_2$</td>
<td>Ni(II)HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO (green)</td>
<td>Ni(II)LS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaNiO$_2$</td>
<td>Ni(III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_3$NiF$_6$</td>
<td>Ni(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNiO$_3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaNiO$_3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$NiF$_6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( error bars <-> 95% confidence interval)

Ni$_{0.85}$Cr$_{0.15}$ source  \( \delta \, [\mu\text{m s}^{-1}] \)
$^{61}\text{Ni}$ Magnetic Splitting

12 transition lines completely resolved at $H_{\text{eff}}$ ca. 50 T
Sign and Magnitude of the Magnetic Hyperfine Field in Nickel Spinel Compounds
NiCr$_2$O$_4$

(Ni in tetrahedral sites)

Applied field $H_{\text{ext}} = 0$

Applied field $H_{\text{ext}} = 48 \text{ kOe}$ increases internal field
NiFe$_2$O$_4$ (Ni in octahedral sites)

Applied field $H_{ext} = 0$

Applied field $H_{ext} = 50$ kOe reduces internal field
Results:

$\text{Ni}^{2+} \text{(tet)} : H_{hf} = + 44.5 \text{ T (positive)}$

$\text{Ni}^{2+} \text{(oct)} : H_{hf} = - 9.1 \text{ T (negative)}$
Bioinorganic Compounds
Ferredoxin (green alga)
Two-Iron Center Protein

Oxidized
s=5/2 ▲ s=5/2 ▼

Reduced
s=2 ▲ s=5/2 ▼

C. E. Johnson et al. (1971)
Bioinorganic chemistry is another discipline where Mössbauer spectroscopy has widely been employed by chemists and physicists. Early Mössbauer effect studies by Johnson et al. of Ferredoxin, the two-iron centre of proteins, demonstrate convincingly, as seen in this picture, that the oxidized form with two Fe(III)-high spin centres can be distinguished from the reduced form with one Fe(III)-high spin centre and one Fe(II)-high spin centre.
Fe$_3$O$_4$ in Magnetotactic Bacteria
Aquaspirillum Magnetotacticum

Biomagnetic compass: Microorganisms follow geomagnetic field due to magnetic particles (40 – 120 nm)

Hexagonal  Cubic
Frankel and Papaeftymiou have investigated the iron containing material in the microorganisms *Aquaspirillum Magnetotacticum*, which are sensitive to the geomagnetic field due to magnetic particles as a kind of biomagnetic compass. The hexagonal and cubic magnetic particles are 40 - 120 nm in size and their chemical nature has been identified by Mössbauer spectroscopy as magnetite, Fe$_3$O$_4$. 
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectrum of Magnetic Particles in Magnetotactic Bacteria

Frankel, Papaefthymiou, 1983:

A1, A2: Fe$_3$O$_4$
B: Fe(III)-HS
C: Fe(II)-HS
Magnetite is a so-called inverse spinel of formula $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}_{\text{tet}}[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}]_{\text{oct}}\text{O}_4$. The oxygen atoms form a cubic lattice structure with tetrahedral and octahedral sites. Half of the iron(III) atoms are accommodated in tetrahedral sites, the other half and all of the iron(II) atoms occupy octahedral sites. The $^{57}$Fe-Mössbauer spectrum typically shows two magnetic sextets (blue and red), which strongly overlap. One of the sextets arises from the iron atoms in tetrahedral sites and the other one from the iron atoms in octrahedral sites. At room temperature and down to ca. 200 K there is fast electron fluctuation between Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions in octahedral sites giving a time-averaged species with only one magnetic sextet. The components B and C observed in the Mössbauer spectrum refer to paramagnetic compounds from which the magnetite particles are grown in the microorganisms.
Thermal, Optical and Pressure-induced Spin State Switching of Iron Compounds

Application in Molecular Devices
Thermal spin transition (spin crossover) is another facet of dynamic electronic structure phenomena that, although first established already several decades ago, in recent years has attracted increasing attention by chemists and physicists because of the promising potential for practical applications in sensors and display devices. Thermally induced spin state transition from a high spin (HS) state with maximum unpaired electrons to a low spin (LS) state with minimum unpaired electrons occurs in coordination compounds with $d^4$ up to $d^7$ electron configurations, if the difference between the free energies of the HS and the LS states are comparable to thermal energy: $\Delta E_{HL} \approx k_B T$ ($k_B$ = Boltzmann constant). A large number (a few hundred) of compounds have been synthesized and characterized by now. Among the various physical techniques that are employed to investigate the spin state switching processes in solid material, $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy has become one of the most valuable tools.

Thermal spin transition (thermal spin crossover) occurs quite frequently in coordination compounds of iron(II), iron(III) and cobalt(II). The following picture sketches the phenomenon in the case of iron(II) compounds with 6 electrons in the 3d valence shell.
Iron(II), 3d⁶

3d Orbitals

\[ S = 0 \]

“Low Spin”

diamagn.

3d Orbitals

\[ S = 2 \]

“High Spin”

paramagn.

\[ T_p \]

500 nm

800 nm
This cartoon introduces on an elementary level the spin crossover phenomenon in Fe(II) complexes with 3d$^6$ valence electron configuration. In iron(II) compounds with relatively weak ligands coordinated to the iron ions, e.g. water molecules, the 3d electrons are accommodated spin-free according to Hund’s rule of maximum spin of S = 2. Such compounds, called high spin complexes, are paramagnetic and are generally weakly coloured. In Fe(II) compounds with relatively strong ligands like CN$^-$ ions, the six electrons are arranged spin-paired with total spin S = 0. Such compounds are called low spin complexes; they are generally diamagnetic and somewhat coloured. If the right kinds of ligands are chosen, e.g. derivatives of tetrazole or triazole, one may observe spin state transition solely by varying the temperature, applying pressure or under irradiation with light as shown in the cartoon.

Thermal spin crossover in iron(II) compounds is reflected by changes in the electron configuration. In the notation of ligand field theoretical concepts, the electron configuration changes from (t$_{2g}$)$^4$(e$_g$)$^2$ in the HS state to (t$_{2g}$)$^6$ in the LS state. This phase transition between paramagnetic and (nearly) diamagnetic is easily detected by magnetic susceptibility measurements. As the colour changes simultaneously, too, the transition from one spin state to the other is also easily detected by optical means. As indicated in the picture, the spin transition can also be affected by applying external pressure or by irradiating the material with light, where green light converts the LS to the HS state and red light the HS to the LS state. The light-induced spin state switching has become known as LIESST and reverse-LIESST (LIESST = Light-Induced Excited Spin State Switching).

The condition that has to be met in order to observe thermal spin crossover is sketched in the next viewgraph using the orbital term symbols known from ligand field theory.
Condition for Thermal Spin Crossover in Iron(II) Compounds

Low Spin (LS) \(^{1}A_1\)

High Spin (HS) \(^{5}T_2\)

\[ \Delta E_{HL} \approx k_B T \]

\[ \Delta r_{HL} \approx r_{Fe-N} \]
Thermal spin crossover may be observed if the ligand field strength of an iron(II) compound is such that the difference between the lowest “vibronic” energy levels of the high spin state $^5T_2$ and the low spin state $^1A_1$ state is comparable with thermal energy $k_B T$ ($k_B =$ Boltzmann constant). The spin transition behaviour can be influenced by chemical alteration, e.g. ligand replacement, change of non-coordinating anion and solvent molecule, substitution of spin state changing metal by another metal, e.g. substitution of iron by zinc. It can also be influenced by physical perturbation such as irradiation with light, applying pressure and a magnetic field. For a comprehensive coverage of chemical and physical influences on the spin transition behaviour see: Gütlich, P.; Hauser, A.; Spiering, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2024.

The influence of the ligand molecules on the spin state of the central iron(II) ions is demonstrated with the two examples and their temperature dependent Mössbauer spectra shown in the following picture
Fine Tuning the Ligand Field by Ligand Replacement

\[ \text{[Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{(phen)}_3\text{]}X_2 \quad \text{[Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{(phen)}_2\text{(NCS)}_2] \]

- 300 K
- 240 K
- 80 K
- 5 K
- 188 K
- 186 K
- 184 K
- 180 K
- 77 K
- HS
- LS
[Fe\textsuperscript{II}(phen)\textsubscript{3}]X\textsubscript{2} (phen = 1.10-phenanthroline) is a typical low spin compound with the characteristic Mössbauer spectra as shown on the left; the isomer shift is ca. 0.2 and the quadrupole splitting ca. 0.5 mm s\textsuperscript{-1}, nearly independent of temperature. If one of the relatively strong phen ligands, which occupies two coordination positions of the octahedron, is replaced by two monofunctional NCS\textsuperscript{-} groups, the average ligand field strength becomes weaker than the mean spin pairing energy and the compound [Fe\textsuperscript{II}(phen)\textsubscript{2}(NCS)\textsubscript{2}] adopts high spin character at room temperature. The Mössbauer spectrum at 300 K shows the typical features of an iron(II) HS compound with isomer shift of ca. 1 mm s\textsuperscript{-1} and large quadrupole splitting of ca. 3 mm s\textsuperscript{-1}. However, the compound [Fe\textsuperscript{II}(phen)\textsubscript{2}(NCS)\textsubscript{2}] fulfils the condition for thermal spin crossover to occur, viz. \( \Delta E_{\text{HL}} \approx k_B T \). As the temperature is lowered, the compound changes spin state from high spin to low spin near 180 K as is well documented by the Mössbauer spectra as a function of temperature, which was first reported by I. Dezsi et al. in 1967. Since then more than 200 spin crossover compounds of iron(II) and iron(III) have been studied by Mössbauer spectroscopy (see e.g. P. Gütlitch, H.A. Goodwin (eds.), Spin Crossover in Transition Metal Compounds, Springer Series “Topics in Current Chemistry, Vol. 233, 234, 235, Berlin Heidelberg 2004).
[Fe(ptz)₆](BF₄)₂
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[Fe(ptz)_6](BF_4)_2, where ptz stands for the ligand molecule 1-propyl-tetrazole as shown in the picture, is another iron(II) coordination compound exhibiting thermal spin crossover with a spin transition temperature $T_{1/2}$ of ca. 135 K. The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra clearly indicate the transition at this temperature between the HS phase (quadrupole doublet shown in red) and the LS phase (singlet shown in blue). Whereas the quadrupole doublet is typical for iron(II) in the HS state, the singlet of the LS state is rarely observed and points at a quasi regular octahedral surroundings at the iron centre. The spin transition in this case occurs with hysteresis as shown by the magnetic measurements in the next picture.
The hysteresis loop is ca. 7 K wide, with transition temperatures of 128 K and 135 K for the cooling and heating branches, respectively. With this compound it was observed for the first time that the spin transition can also be induced by irradiating the crystals with light; green light converts the LS state to the HS state, which can have very long lifetimes, e.g. on the order of days at low temperatures (below ca. 20 K); cf. Decurtins, S.; Gütlich, P.; Köhler, C.P.; Spiering, H.; Hauser, A. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *105*, 1.
$[\text{Fe(ptz)}_6](\text{BF}_4)_2$

- 300 K
- 80 K
- 10 K

Green Light
A single crystal of [Fe(PTZ)₆](BF₄)₂ (size ca. 3x3 cm²) is colourless at room temperature. The absorption spectrum shows only a weak absorption band at ca. 12 000 cm⁻¹. At 80 K the crystal has changed totally to the LS state by thermal spin crossover and is now red and absorbs relatively strongly at 18000 and 26000 cm⁻¹. Irradiating the crystal at ca. 10 K converts the LS state to the metastable HS state. The optical spectrum of the white spot (ca. 1 mm in diameter) is practically identical to the one recorded at 300 K.
[Fe(ptz)$_6$](BF$_4$)$_2$ : Light-Induced SCO

Relative Transmission (%)

15 K
- no light

15 K
- green light

50 K
- (∼30')

100 K

150 K
Mössbauer spectroscopy is ideally suited to follow the light-induced spin state conversion in this system as exemplified in the next viewgraph.

A polycrystalline sample of \([\text{Fe(ptz)}_6]_2(\text{BF}_4)_2\) was cooled to 15 K. Before irradiation the sample is in the LS state and shows the typical Mössbauer spectrum of the LS state (upper left). After irradiating with green light (xenon lamp with filters or 514 nm band of an Ar ion laser) at 15 K the sample is quantitatively converted to the metastable HS state (middle left). The asymmetry in the intensity of the two components of the quadrupole doublet is due to the plate-like shape of the crystals (texture effect). Thermal relaxation on a 15 minute-timescale sets in at 50 K (lower left and upper right: the sample was heated for 15 minutes at 50 K and then cooled to the measuring temperature of 15 K in two runs). Thermal relaxation to the stable LS state is complete at 10 K. On further heating to 150 K the sample undergoes again thermal spin transition at 135 K to the (now stable) HS state.

This photophysical phenomenon has become known as “Light-Induced Excited Spin State Trapping” (LIESST). The processes involved in the LIESST effect are well understood on the basis of ligand field theory (Gütlich, P.; Hauser, A.; Spiering, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2024. The next viewgraph explains the mechanisms of LIESST and reverse-LIESST.
Light-Induced Excited Spin State Trapping

“LIESST“
The energy level scheme shows in the uppermost part the distribution of the six valence electrons of iron(II) over the five d-orbitals split in an octahedral ligand field into the subgroups $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ resulting in the two spin states LS (left) and HS (right), which can be switched forth and back by varying the temperature, applying pressure and by light. The corresponding energy potentials are drawn in the bottom part of the scheme. The complex molecules in the HS state are bigger than those in the LS state due to the fact that the antibonding $e_g$ orbitals are partially occupied in the HS state, whereas in the LS state they are empty. Thus the HS potentials are placed in positions of larger metal-donor atom distances as compared to the LS potentials.

Green light (514 nm) excites the LS state ($^1A_1$) to the $^1T_1$ and $^1T_2$ states (spin-allowed, but parity-forbidden), which decay fast via the spin triplet states $^3T_{1,2}$ to the $^5T_2$ state. This double intersystem crossing decay path is favoured by spin-orbit coupling over the direct decay path back to $^1A_1$. Decay of the $^5T_2$ state to the $^1A_1$ state is forbidden, the metastable HS state is trapped until radiationless thermal relaxation sets in by nonadiabatic multiphonon processes (Gütlich, P., Hauser, A., Spiering, H., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 2024). Light-induced back conversion of the metastable LIÉSST state is possible by irradiating the sample with red light, thereby undergoing again double intersystem crossing processes similar to the LS to HS conversion with green light (reverse-LIÉSST).

The LIÉSST phenomenon has been verified in many iron(II) spin crossover complexes. An interesting case is the system with methyl-tetrazole ligands, [Fe(mtz)$_6$](BF$_4$)$_2$, which will be discussed next.
The crystal structure of \([\text{Fe(mtz)}_6](\text{BF}_4)_2\) shows that iron(II) ions occupy two slightly different lattice positions, denoted as A and B sites, at a ratio of 1:1. At room temperature the two kinds of Fe(II) ions are in the HS state as confirmed by Mössbauer spectroscopy.
[Fe(mtz)$_6$](BF$_4$)$_2$

T-dependent
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra

Thermal Spin Crossover at A-sites

Poganiuch, Decurtins, Gütlich
From room temperature down to ca. 160 K, the two kinds of iron ions can not be distinguished by their Mössbauer resonance signals; the quadrupole doublets of HS(A) (red) and HS(B) (green) have the same values within the error limits. At ca. 120 K, however, they become discernible. On further lowering the temperature, HS(A) undergoes spin transition and the intensity of the HS(A) doublet decreases at the favour of a gradually appearing LS(A) signal (blue). The green HS(B) doublet, with an area fraction of ca. 50 % at all temperatures, remains in the HS state throughout. Thus, temperature dependent $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy clearly traces the thermal spin crossover process at A-sites only.

This system was also subject to investigations of light-induced spin state conversions (LESST) using Mössbauer spectroscopy. The next picture highlights the results.
$[\text{Fe(mtz)}_6](\text{BF}_4)_2$

LIESST in Sites A and B

$\lambda = 514 \text{ nm}$

$\lambda = 820 \text{ nm}$

20 K

$\text{HS} \rightarrow \text{LS}$

65 K

$\text{LS} \rightarrow \text{HS}$

A

B
A polycrystalline sample of [Fe(mtz)₆](BF₄)₂ was cooled to 20 K. The recorded Mössbauer spectrum of it is nearly identical to the 60 K spectrum of the previous picture. It shows in blue the LS(A) resonance signal, and in green the HS(B) quadrupole doublet, each species being present to ca. 50 %. After irradiation with green light (514 nm) the LS(A) signal disappears at the favour of a doublet arising from the HS(A) sites. When the sample is warmed to ca. 65 K, thermal relaxation of HS→LS at A sites sets in and the original 20 K spectrum is recorded again. If the sample is irradiated with red light instead of green light, the quadrupole doublet arising from the HS(B) sites mostly disappears and the spectrum mainly contains now LS resonances arising from both LS(A) and LS(B) sites. This way light-induced conversion of a stable HS iron(II) species to a long-lived metastable LS species was observed for the first time. Again, when the sample is heated to ca. 65 K, thermal relaxation of LS→HS at B sites begins and the original 20 K spectrum (middle) is recorded after complete relaxation. ((P. Poganiuch, S. Decurtins, P. Gütlisch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 3270).
$[\text{Fe(mtz)}_6](\text{BF}_4)_2$

LIESST and Reverse-LIESST in Sites A and B

$\lambda = 514 \text{ nm}$

$\lambda = 820 \text{ nm}$

$\lambda = 820 \text{ nm}$

$\lambda = 514 \text{ nm}$

Reverse-LIESST
Spin Crossover in dinuclear iron(II) complexes

A – [Fe bpm (NCS)$_2$]$_2$ bpm
B – [Fe bpm (NCSe)$_2$]$_2$ bpm

Gaspar, Real, Ksenofontov, Gütlich et al. (2001)
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy is a most elegant tool to follow these photophysical processes. It has also been employed in investigations of polynuclear systems, i.e. coordination compounds with more than one switchable iron(II) centres in the complex molecules. The simplest ones are dinuclear complexes of iron(II) to be discussed next.

Various members of this class of dinuclear iron(II) compounds were first synthesized and structurally and magnetically characterised in the group of J.A. Real (Valencia). Two selected members A and B are shown here. They differ only slightly in their chemical composition. Compound A, with NCS ligands instead of NCSe (B), the two Fe(II)-HS centres with spin $S = 2$ are antiferromagnetically coupled via the bpym bridge over the whole temperature range under study. Compound B possesses a slightly stronger ligand field strength than A and undergoes thermal spin transition, but encompassing only 50 % of the iron(II) centres as indicated by the plateau in the spin transition curve at $\chi T \approx 3.5 \, \text{cm}^3\text{mole}^{-1}\text{K}$. 
Mössbauer Spectroscopy of [Fe bpym (NCSe)$_2$]$_2$bpym in External Magnetic Field

- LS in LS–LS and in HS–LS
- HS in HS–LS
- HS–HS

$\chi_M [\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}]$

- $T = 4.2 \text{ K}$, $H = 0$
- $T = 4.2 \text{ K}$, $H = 5 \text{ T}$
The important question arises whether the spin transition occurs from HS-HS pairs to HS-LS pairs, or whether the plateau arises from a 1:1 mixture of HS-HS and LS-LS pairs. The Mössbauer spectrum recorded without applied magnetic field (see upper right) cannot differentiate between the two possibilities; it reflects the presence of 50% each of HS and LS Fe(II) ions, but no information about the pair formation. Mössbauer spectroscopy with applied magnetic field, however, solves the problem. The spectrum in the lower right confirms that there is hardly any HS-HS pair formation in the plateau, it consists mainly of HS-LS pairs. (V. Ksenofontov, H. Spiering, S. Reiman, Y. Garcia, A.B. Gaspar, N. Moliner, J.A. Real, P. Gütlich, *Chem. Phys. Letters* 348 (2001) 5-6, 381).

Thermal spin transition has also been studied in other dinuclear iron(II) complexes. An example is shown in the following picture, where the spin state in the plateau could be characterised by Mössbauer spectroscopy.
Spin state in the plateau of a dinuclear spin crossover complex

\{[\text{Fe(phdia)(NCS)}_2\text{phdia}]\}

Gaspar, Real, Ksenofontov, Gülich (2002)
A peculiarity here is that the spin transition curve $\chi_T$ versus temperature from magnetic susceptibility measurements shows a plateau at ca. 50 % spin state conversion. The question arises whether the plateau reflects the presence of 100 % of HS-LS pairs or a mixture of 50 % each of HS-HS and LS-LS pairs. Magnetic susceptibility and conventional Mössbauer measurements do not clarify the situation. At 300 K one observes a typical Fe(II)-HS quadrupole doublet. In the plateau at ca. 100 K one observes the same quadrupole doublet as at 300 K and a typical Fe(II)-LS signal, with intensity ratio of ca. 1:1. These spectra could arise from a mixture of HS-HS and LS-LS pairs as well as from HS-LS pairs only. To solve the problem, the sample was quickly frozen from 100 K to 4.2 K. The Mössbauer spectrum did not change as seen in the picture indicating that the fast trapping procedure was successful. Measuring the Mössbauer effect now in a magnetic field (5 T) yields the spectrum shown in the lower left, with subspectra marked in green and blue arising from LS-HS and LS-LS pairs, respectively. There is no indication for the presence of HS-HS pairs. The answer is clear: The thermal spin transition in this system proceeds from HS-HS via HS-LS (plateau) to LS-LS pairs.
Tetranuclear Iron(II) Complexes

Ruben, Lehn et al.
Renz, Gütlieh. et al.
Four iron(II) ions are held together in these tetranuclear complex molecules by four ligand molecules of the type shown in the upper part of the picture. The nitrogen donating atoms (green) of the ligand molecules coordinate to the iron centres as indicated by the arrows such that each centre is surrounded by six nitrogen atoms. The tetranuclear complex molecule is schematized in the lower part of the picture, where the spheres in red and blue represent the HS and LS centres, respectively, with their respective electron arrangements, and the rods represent the ligand molecules.

The four iron centres undergo thermal spin transition upon lowering the temperature. Results of Mössbauer and magnetic susceptibility measurements are depicted in the next viewgraph.

The 300 K spectrum indicates that essentially all four iron centres per complex molecule are in the HS state at room temperature. On cooling, the iron centres successively turn to the LS state. At 4.2 K two of the iron centres are still in the HS state. The spin transition is very gradual due to the fact that the iron centres do not switch simultaneously and that the cooperative interactions apparently are rather weak. The spin transition can also be effected by applying pressure, because the complex molecules are bigger in the HS than in the LS state. The LIESST phenomenon has also been verified in these systems.

Coexistence of Spin Transition and Liquid Crystal Properties

Iron(III) complex with dodecyloxy salicyliden-N'-ethyl-N-ethylenediamine ligands

Galyametdinov, Haase, Ksenofontov, Gütlich (2002)
A topic of great actuality in molecular magnetism research is designing coordination compounds exhibiting synergistic effects, i.e. systems showing more than one dynamic electronic structure phenomena such as spin crossover, molecular magnetic interaction, electron transfer resulting in mixed valency and valence tautomerism, liquid crystal properties. An example is displayed in this picture.

The iron(III) complex with long-chain ligands shows liquid crystal properties above and spin crossover behaviour below room temperature. The latter is documented by the strong temperature dependence of the magnetic moment (lower left) and the Mössbauer spectra recorded at three different temperatures.

First example of coexistence of thermal spin transition and liquid-crystal properties
Y. Galyametdinov, V. Ksenofontov, A. Prosvirin, I. Ovchinnikov, G. Ivanova, P. Gütlich and W. Haase
Pressure-induced electron transfer in ferrimagnetic Prussian blue analogs


$K_{0.1}Co_4[Fe(CN)_6]_{2.7} \cdot 18 \text{H}_2\text{O}$

Alkali ions $P$

$S = 0$
$S = 0$

$S = 3/2$
$S = 1/2$

$Co^{II} - N - C - Fe^{III} - Fe^{II} - N - C - Co^{III}$
The cobalt(II)-iron(III) cyano complex, when doped with potassium ions, may undergo a thermally induced electron transfer around 20 K from the Co(II)-HS ion with spin $S=3/2$ over the NC bridge to the Fe(III)-LS ion with spin $S=1/2$, whereby the Co(II)-HS centre turns to Co(III)-LS with spin $S=0$ and the Fe(III)-LS to Fe(II)-LS with spin $S=0$. The diamagnetic pair Co(III)-Fe(II) (total spin $S = 0$) can be converted to the paramagnetic pair Co(II)-Fe(III) (total spin $S = 2$) by irradiation with red light, while the latter pair can be converted back to the diamagnetic pair with blue light. It has been observed that the formation of the diamagnetic pairs can be enhanced by increasing the potassium concentration or doping with more voluminous alkali ions like rubidium or caesium. Apparently, chemical pressure favours the electron transfer and the formation of the diamagnetic pairs, which are smaller in molecular volume than the paramagnetic pairs. This was proven by measuring the Mössbauer spectra under applied pressure of a sample as shown here, which has very little potassium and does not show a thermally induced electron transfer at ambient pressure. At 4.2 K, the spectrum is magnetically split into a sextet with a local magnetic field of 165 kOe arising from the $S=1/2$ Fermi contact field. At a pressure of 3 kbar, most of the sextet intensity has disappeared at the favour of a singlet arising from the Fe(II)-LS sites. At 4 kbar the pressure-induced electron transfer from Co(II)-HS to Fe(III)-LS is complete and the spectrum shows only the typical Fe(II)-LS singlet.


Pressure cells for Mössbauer and magnetic susceptibility measurements at variable hydrostatic pressures up to ca. 14 kbar in the temperature range 400 K to 4.2 K have been developed by the Mainz group of P. Gütlich and are shown in the next picture.
Hydrostatic High Pressure Cells for Magnetic and Mössbauer Studies

2 K < T < 400 K
p < 14 Kbar
Mössbauer Emission Spectroscopy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>MAS</th>
<th>MES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Single line ((^{57})Co/Rh)</td>
<td>Sample under Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorber</td>
<td>Sample under study</td>
<td>Single line K(_4)[Fe(CN)(_6)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In conventional Mössbauer spectroscopy one uses a “single-line “source, e.g. $^{57}$Co embedded in a rhodium matrix in the case of $^{57}$Fe spectroscopy, and the iron containing material under study as absorber. This technique is termed “Mössbauer absorption spectroscopy” (MAS) in order to distinguish it from the so-called source experiment, also known as “Mössbauer emission spectroscopy” (MES). In a MES experiment one uses a “single-line” absorber, e.g. $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$, and a $^{57}$Co doped sample under study as source. In this case, the recorded Mössbauer spectrum refers to the hyperfine interactions in the source material, i.e. it yields information on the chemical and physical properties of the excited $^{57}$Fe atoms before they decay. The MES technique has been widely used to investigate chemical and physical after-effects of nuclear decay in various materials, particularly in poorly conducting coordination compounds.

If the radioactive $^{57}$Co ions are embedded in a metallic matrix, electron relaxation processes are very fast, and at ca. 100 ns after the nuclear decay, when the “Mössbauer time window” (corresponding to the lifetime of the excited nuclear state) is reached, electronic relaxation processes have become thermally equilibrated and one usually observes no noticeable after-effects in metallic matrices. This, however, is different in the case of poorly conducting coordination compounds, e.g. with nitrogen donating ligand molecules. As schematized in the viewgraph, the electron capture decay of radioactive $^{57}$Co, whereby an electron from the K shell is captured by the $^{57}$Co nucleus and turned into $^{57}$Fe, may lead to a variety of after-effects like bond rupture, ligand radiolysis, change of charge states and excited ligand field states to name the most important consequences of nuclear decay in solid coordination compounds. These after-effects may have lifetimes on the order of 10 to 500 ns. It is possible to cover this time regime with “time-integral” and “time-differential” Mössbauer emission spectroscopy.
After-Effects of $^{57}\text{Co}(EC)^{57}\text{Fe}$ Decay

- Bond rupture
- Ligand radiolysis
- Change of charge state
- Excited ligand field states

Time window: 10 – 500 ns
$[\text{M(phen)}_3]\text{(ClO}_4\text{)}_2$

$M = ^{57}\text{Fe}_x/\text{Co}_{1-x}$  $M = ^{57}\text{Co}_x/\text{Co}_{1-x}$

(x = 0.001)

Source: $^{57}\text{Co/Rh}$

295 K

Absorber: $\text{K}_4[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]$  295 K

LS

300 K

240 K

80 K

5 K

LS

300 K

240 K

80 K

5 K

$\text{HS1}$  $\text{HS2}$
The coordination compound [Co$^{II}$](phen)$_3$](ClO$_4$)$_2$ (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline) doped with 0.1 % of $^{57}$Fe was studied by Mössbauer absorption spectroscopy using a $^{57}$Co/Rh source (spectra on the left). The three phen ligands create a relatively strong ligand field at the iron(II) center, the compound shows LS behavior at all temperatures under study (see MAS spectra on the left). The same system, however doped with $^{57}$Co as Mössbauer source, was studied by Mössbauer emission spectroscopy using K$_4$[Fe(CN)$_6$] as absorber. The MES spectra (right) also show the typical Fe(II)-LS signal at 300 K down to ca. 200 K. On further cooling, the intensity of this signal decreases and at the same time two Fe(II)-HS doublets, HS1 and HS2, appear with increasing intensity. These unusual spin states are excited ligand field states with lifetimes on the order of ca. 100 ns.

Similar experiments were carried out with systems whose corresponding iron(II) compounds possess intermediate ligand field strengths and show thermal spin crossover. An example is discussed in the next picture.

Recent review article: **Nuclear-decay-induced excited spin trapping**
P. Gütlich in “Spin Crossover in Transition Metal Compounds”
$\text{M : } ^{57}\text{Fe}$  
$\text{[M(phen)$_2$(NCS)$_2$]}$  
$\text{M : } ^{57}\text{Co}$
[Fe(phen)$_2$(NCS)$_2$] undergoes thermal spin transition as already discussed above. The temperature dependent MAS spectra are shown on the left. The analogous cobalt(II) compound doped with $^{57}$Co and used as Mössbauer source (or the corresponding iron compound doped with $^{57}$Co as source which gives the same results) yield the temperature dependent MES spectra shown on the right. The main result is that in the temperature region, where the MAS spectra reflect the transition to the LS state, the MES spectra still show the typical HS signals arising from excited ligand field states.

The mechanism of the formation of the excited ligand field states as a consequence of the EC nuclear decay of radioactive $^{57}$Co is well understood. It is much related to that of the LIESST phenomenon and has therefore been termed “Nuclear-Induced Excited Spin State Trapping” (NIESST). The main difference between the two phenomena lies in the primary step of excitation, which is the application of a light source in the case of LIESST, whereas in NIESST the nuclear decay serves quasi as an intrinsic molecular excitation source.
Nuclear-Decay-Induced Excited Spin State Trapping

Relaxation following nuclear decay

\[ \text{Energy} \]

\[ ^1T_2 \rightarrow ^3T_2 \rightarrow ^1A_1 \]

\[ ^1T_1 \rightarrow ^3T_1 \]

\[ ^{5E} \rightarrow ^{5T_2} \]

\[ \Delta r_{HL}, \Delta E_{HL} \]

\[ r_{Fe-N} \]
The ligand field strength at the nucleogenic $^{57}$Fe centre is of utmost importance for the lifetime of the excited $^{5}T_{2}$ state. The stronger the ligand field, the larger is the energy difference $\Delta E_{HI}$, and the shorter is the lifetime of the $^{5}T_{2}$ state of the nucleogenic $^{57}$Fe ion (Gütlich, P., Hauser, A., Spiering, H., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 2024). This is clearly confirmed by MES experiments with strong-field and intermediate-field complexes as discussed above.

Lifetime measurements on $^{57}$Co doped strong-field complexes have been carried out by time-differential MES experiments using a specially constructed Mössbauer coincidence spectrometer developed by the Mainz group. The following scheme gives an idea how the method works.

Kajcsos, Zs.; Alflen, M.; Spiering, H.; Gütlich, P.; Albrecht, R.; Schulze, R.; Kurz, R. Hyperfine Interactions 1986, 29, 1551;
Tuczek, F.; Spiering, H.; Gütlich, P., Hyperfine Interactions 1990, 62, 109
Time-Differential Mössbauer Emission Spectroscopy

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{l}=5/2^- & \quad \text{start-\gamma} \quad 122 \text{ keV} \\
\text{l}=3/2^- & \quad \text{stop-\gamma} \quad 14.4 \text{ keV} \\
\text{l}=1/2^- & \quad \text{57Fe} \\
\text{EC} & \\
\text{57Co}
\end{align*}
\]

Experiment:

- Liquid Helium
- 14.4 keV detector
- 122 keV detector
- Absorber
- Source

Lifetimes of excited electr. states: 10 - 500 ns
Resolution : ca. 5 ns

Lifetime measurements of excited electronic states formed after the EC decay of $^{57}$Co in transition metal compounds have been carried out in the time window of ca. 10 to 500 ns with a resolution of ca. 5 ns. An example showing two series of time-differential Mössbauer emission spectra of [Co$^{II}$(phen)$_3$](ClO$_4$)$_2$ (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline) recorded at 80 and 40 K, respectively, is displayed in the next figure.
$[^{57}\text{Co}/\text{Co(phen)}_3](\text{ClO}_4)_2$

Time Differential Mössbauer Emission Spectra

40 K

| 245ns–285ns |
| 205ns–245ns |
| 165ns–205ns |
| 125ns–165ns |
| 85ns–125ns |
| 45ns–85ns |
| 10ns–45ns |

80 K
The resonance signal marked in red is one component of the quadrupole doublet of the metastable $^{57}\text{Fe(II)}$-HS state, the intensity of which decreases with increasing temperature and the time elapsed after nuclear decay. The derived lifetimes of the $^{57}\text{Fe(II)}$-HS state have been found to be 390 ns (10 K), 300 ns (25 K), 205 ns (47 K) and 100 ns (80 K). Very similar lifetimes have been measured by optical methods after laser excitation of the corresponding iron(II) compound. This confirms that the relaxation mechanism is the same for both the nuclear decay-induced and the light-induced “excited spin state trapping” (NIESST and LIESST).

It has been found in NIESST experiments with other cobalt coordination compounds that the lifetime of the nucleogenic metastable $^{57}\text{Fe(II)}$-HS states depends decisively on the ligand field strength of the corresponding iron(II) coordination compound. The weaker the ligand field strength, the longer is the lifetime, i.e. the higher is the intensity of the metastable state at a given temperature. A convincing example confirming this finding is seen in the next picture with the emission spectra of the terpyridyl complex of Co(II) doped with $^{57}\text{Co}$ and two different kinds of anions. The spectra were recorded at 100 K using a resonance detector.
NIESST in $[^{57}\text{Co}/\text{Co(terpy)}_2]X_2$

$X = \text{ClO}_4$
Weaker Ligand Field

$X = \text{Cl}$
Stronger Ligand Field

Fe(II)-HS
Fe(II)-LS
Fe(III)-LS

Graphs showing relative intensity vs velocity at 100 K.
As observed with temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility measurements the perchlorate compound shows a thermally induced spin transition, whereas the chloride compound is low-spin still at room temperature, i.e. the former has a weaker ligand field strength than the latter compound. The emission spectra displayed here clearly show that the intensity of the metastable $^{57}\text{Fe(II)}$-HS (red) state is considerably higher in the system with the weaker ligand field strength (left) as compared to that in the stronger ligand field.

As the lifetime of the metastable $^{57}\text{Fe(II)}$-HS states responds to the chemical pressure created by the nearby surroundings in a crystal lattice, this method can be employed to probe the pore and cavity dimensions of a crystal lattice.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

• Corrosion Studies
• Magnetic Tape
• Glass Manufacturing
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectra of Corrosion Products

Fe$_3$O$_4$, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, $\alpha$-FeOOH
Roughly 6 different iron oxides and oxyhydroxides of iron are known as corrosion products, which may be formed by corrosion reactions in steel and iron containing alloys under different conditions. These corrosion products can be distinguished by $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. Magnetite, Fe$_3$O$_4$, is characterised by two overlapping sextets, one arising from Fe(III) ions in tetrahedral sites, the other one is a time-averaged sextet arising from Fe(II) and Fe(III) ions in octahedral sites with fast electron fluctuations between them (faster than the inverse of the lifetime of the 14.4 keV nuclear level). The oxides $\alpha$- and $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ show a magnetically split sextet with a slightly different size of the internal magnetic field. The oxyhydroxides $\alpha$-, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-FeOOH can be distinguished by temperature dependent Mössbauer spectroscopy. While $\alpha$-FeOOH (Goethite) shows a magnetically split sextet at room temperature, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-FeOOH have the same poorly resolved quadrupole doublet and therefore cannot be distinguished at room temperature. At liquid nitrogen temperature (ca. 80 K), however, $\beta$-FeOOH is magnetically ordered and shows the typical magnetically split sextet, whereas $\gamma$-FeOOH still shows the same poorly resolved quadrupole doublet as at 295 K. This phase orders magnetically only below ca. 30 K yielding then a similar sextet as the other modifications.
Corrosion of $\alpha$-Iron in H$_2$O/SO$_2$ atmosphere at 300 K

Corrosion product is $\beta$-FeOOH
Lacquer Coating Against Corrosion of Steel

A, B : Different lacquers, Same corrosion conditions
The quality of various lacquer coatings on steel, as used e.g. in the fabrication of cars, was investigated with respect to resistance against corrosion. The steel samples were coated with the lacquers and then exposed to aggressive media under the same conditions. After certain exposure times Mössbauer spectra were recorded in the gamma ray reflection technique without destroying the lacquer layer (see scheme). Two selected spectra are reproduced in the picture. In both cases $\beta$-FeOOH was identified as the corrosion product (typical quadrupole doublet in the middle of the sextet of the steel substrate). It is clearly seen that the intensity of the $\beta$-FeOOH signal is much bigger in the A experiment than in B. This means that the protecting quality of lacquer B is better than that of A.
Corrosion Products in Cooling Systems of Power Plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>A/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hematite, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite, Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goethite, $\alpha$-FeOOH</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martensite</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta/\gamma$ - FeOOH</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This example is taken from routine Mössbauer analysis of finely dispersed particles in a cooling system of a power plant. The particles were collected from the coolant with a special filter and analysed with standard transmission Mössbauer spectroscopy. The spectrum is a superposition of the spectra of five different iron-containing species with percentages given in the table. Such measurements have been carried out routinely in the context of regular maintenance works in nuclear and conventional power plants.
Effect of Corrosion Inhibitors

DEDA : Diethanol-dodecanylamine
BBA : m-Benzoylbenzoic acid

Conversion Electron Mössbauer Spectroscopy at 300 K
Effect of Corrosion Inhibitors

16 hours
no inhibitor

3 days
no inhibitor

8 days
400 ppm DEDA

8 days
400 ppm each DEDA + BBA
Fe-doped CrO$_2$-Particles for Magnetic Tapes (BASF)
A collaboration with the BASF company was conducted with the specific objective to improve the magnetic properties of CrO$_2$ particles for audio- and video-magnetic tapes. As sketched in the next picture, iron can enter the CrO$_2$ lattice in two ways, one improving and the other worsening the magnetic properties. Samples from many different batches, differing in the preparation parameters, were analysed by $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy.
Fe-doped Chromium Dioxide Particles for Magnetic Tapes

**Problem:** Fe enters CrO$_2$ lattice as

- **a)** $\alpha$-(Cr$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$)$_2$O$_3$
  - NOT wanted!
  - Deteriorates magnetic properties

- **b)** (Cr$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$)O$_2$
  - Wanted!
  - Improves magnetic properties
57Fe-Mössbauer Spectra of α-(Cr$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$)$_2$O$_3$ (x=0.2) at variable temperatures
$^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer Spectra (293 K)}$ of Fe-doped CrO$_2$ particles

Relative Transmission

$\alpha-(\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x)_2\text{O}_3$
- Magnetic

$\alpha-(\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x)_2\text{O}_3$
- Paramagnetic

$(\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x)\text{O}_2$
Shown here are the Mössbauer spectra of Fe-doped CrO$_2$ particles of two samples from different preparation procedures. The green magnetic sextet refers to iron accommodated in Cr positions, the desired version that improves the magnetic properties for recording tapes by ca. 10 % as compared to the “old” preparation method (see table). So Mössbauer spectroscopy has helped to find the better preparation procedure for magnetic tapes of higher quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CK56</th>
<th>A4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coercivity (Oe)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remanence (emu/g)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation Magnetization (emu/g)</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Problems in Glass Manufacturing

Collaboration with Schott Glas Mainz
Problem:
Surface properties depends on oxidation state of tin.

Quantitative determination of Sn^{2+} / Sn^{4+} by CEMS in dependence of
a) Glass composition
b) Production conditions
Tin is usually an additive in the production of floatglass. It has been found that the surface properties of floatglass depends on the oxidation state of tin. In order to have control over the surface properties it is necessary to control the oxidation state of tin, which is difficult to do with conventional methods. In a collaboration with SCHOTT company (Mainz) we have applied $^{119}\text{Sn}$ Mössbauer spectroscopy using the conversion electron detection. The two spectra shown here confirm convincingly that the oxidation state of tin in floatglass can be determined qualitatively and quantitatively. The production of floatglass can now be conducted considering various parameters like glass composition and production conditions.
Atmosphären- und Badseite eines KN-Floatglases nach thermischer Behandlung

unbehandelt

behandelt 7 min, 730 °C

Sn(II)
Sn(IV)
Mobile Mössbauer Spectroscopy

G. Klingelhöfer, E. Kankeleit,
J. Foh, B. Bernhardt,
P. Gülich
Initiated by Professor Egbert Kankeleit (Darmstadt) around 1990 and extensively pursued by his student Göstar Klingelhöfer and the two excellent electronics experts Josef Foh and Bodo Bernhardt a Mössbauer spectrometer was miniaturised by scaling down a laboratory apparatus by a factor of ca. 100. After E. Kankeleit’s retirement in 1998 the project continued with G. Klingelhöfer in the research group of P. Gütlich at the University of Mainz. The goal has been reached most successfully. The miniaturised spectrometer (abbreviated as MIMOS), operating in the gamma backscattering mode, was chosen by NASA to be sent to the planet Mars in two missions in 2003. After a flight time of ca. 7 months the two rovers, Spirit and Opportunity, landed safely on the surface of Mars in January 2004. Since then the two MIMOS instruments, one each mounted together with other instruments on a robotic arm of the rovers, are recording Mössbauer spectra (in backscatter mode) of outstanding quality. The spectra are being sent down to earth and received at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory in Pasadena, where they are analyzed and interpreted by a group of specialists from the University of Mainz.
Miniaturized Mössbauer Spectrometer (MIMOS)

Sensor Head

Reference channel

Si-PIN-det.

9 cm

Drive Control unit

Drive
The sensor head of the miniaturised Mössbauer spectrometer MIMOS has the dimensions 9x9x5 cm³ and weighs only ca. 450 g. The 14.4 keV gamma radiation is detected by 4 Si-PIN diodes. The drive unit carries the main Mössbauer source in front and a reference source for velocity calibration in the back. The electronics board is placed in a thermostated housing.
Mössbauer Spectroscopy in Transmission and Backscattering

Source | Sample | Detector | Spectrum

Detector

Backscatter Geometry

Rö, γ, e⁻
Mars Exploration Rover 2003
Spirit and Opportunity

Launch: June 2003
Landing: January 2004
A MIMOS instrument was mounted on the robotic arm of each of two identical rovers, called *Spirit* and *Opportunity*, which were launched separately in June 2003 (from Kennedy Space Center, Florida) and landed successfully on Mars in January 2004, *Spirit* in Gusev Crater and *Opportunity* in Meridiani Planum (opposite side of Mars).

The rovers are ca. 1.5 m long and ca. 1.5 m high and weigh ca. 175 kilograms each. The solar panels and a lithium-ion battery system provide a power of 140 watts on Mars surface. The rovers are programmed to cover distances of up to a few kilometres taking photographs, recording Mössbauer and X-ray fluorescence spectra of rock and soil on their way. Typical measuring times for Mössbauer spectra are a few hours. Temperatures vary between ca. –70 and +10 °C.

The robotic arm carries the Mössbauer spectrometer MIMOS, an Alpha-Particle-X-ray-Spectrometer (APXS) for elemental analysis (developed at the Max Planck-Institute in Mainz, a camera, and a grinder for polishing rocks and drilling holes up to ca. 5 mm into stony material.
First Mössbauer Spectrum Recorded on Martian Surface
Gusev Crater, January 17, 2004 (3h25min)

- Blue: Fe$^{2+}$ olivine
- Red: Fe$^{2+}$ pyroxene
- Green: Fe$^{3+}$

Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$_{Total}$ ~ 0.6
Rock *Adirondack*

*Adirondack* has the size of a football.
The Mössbauer spectrum of Adirondack taken 18 Mars days (sol) after landing reveals mainly olivine and pyroxene, and a small concentration of magnetite.
The grinder drills a hole of ca. 5 cm diameter and variable depth into the rock and MIMOS takes the following depth-selective Mössbauer spectra.
Mössbauer Measurements on Mazatzal Rock
Spirit: Gusev crater

Dusty Surface
208 - 248 Kelvin
Time = 16h 57min
Fe$^{3+}$ = 31.9 % Area
Olivine = 25.2 % Area

Brushed Surface
209 - 249 Kelvin
Time = 13h 9min
Fe$^{3+}$ = 32.4 % Area
Olivine = 32.4 % Area

First Ratted Surface
211 - 243 Kelvin
Time = 12h 7min
Fe$^{3+}$ = 13.2 % Area
Olivine = 44.6 % Area

Second Ratted Surface
205 - 250 Kelvin
Time = 15h 25min
Fe$^{3+}$ = 6.0 % Area
Olivine = 53.0 % Area

Relative Counts [%]

Velocity [mm/s]
The spectra show that the olivine content varies on going from the untreated dusty surface to a brushed surface and then into the interior of the rock. Indicated are the measuring times and the changes of the ambient temperature during the measurements.
The “Berry Bowl”

Black spherules (ca. 5 mm diameter), looking like blueberries, spread out in a rocky outcrop are analysed with MIMOS, 46 and 48 Mars days after landing.
The blueberry-spherules show a high concentration of hematite, much higher than the berry-free rock.
Mössbauer spectra of El Capitan

Mössbauer Spectrum of El Capitan: Meridiani Planum
Jarosite: \((\text{K, Na, X}^+\text{)}\text{Fe}_3\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2\text{(OH)}_6\)

Intensities vs. Velocity

- \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) Jarosite
- \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) phase
- \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) silicate
- Magnetic phases
The most exciting Mössbauer spectrum recorded on Mars (Meridiani Planum) so far points at the presence of the mineral Jarosite. The chemical formula of Jarosite as given in the picture contains 6 OH groups per formula unit. This means that the mineral must have been formed in the presence of water. Thus the detection of the mineral Jarosite is clear evidence for the presence of water on Mars in the past or even until now in the form of deep frozen ice.
“Mineralogy at Gusev Crater from the Mössbauer Spectrometer on the Spirit Rover”

“Jarosite and Hematite at Meridiani Planum from Opportunity’s Mössbauer Spectrometer”
Mobile Mössbauer Spectroscopy on Earth with MIMOS
In situ Air Pollution Investigation

Monitoring of Iron Mineralogy and Oxidation States in the Field: the Green Rust Mineral

- Electronics-box with digital board and positioning-mechanism.

- Long tube with windows located in different depths.

- MIMOS II is moved within the tube to different depth.

Depth (with respect to surface):

- 69 cm: 0.78
- 34 cm: 1.22
- 9 cm: 1.81

Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺
Lekythos
500 b. C.

Röm.-germ.
Zentrumuseum
Mainz

$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$

$\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$
sp-Fe(III) oxid

Silikate

$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$

Velocity [mm/s]
Celtic Helmet Knob

Magnetite and Maghemite
Restoration of a Roman Mask

Roman Mask
Left Ear

wüstite

Roman Mask
Unidentified Fragment
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectrum of a 1 US-Dollar Bill

Constituents area-%
Magnetite, Fe$_3$O$_4$ 20
Goethite, $\alpha$-FeOOH 80
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectrum of a 50 Euro Bill

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>area-%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ Iron</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Iron containing particles in aerosols

A: Fe₃O₄, Magnetite 9,8
B: α-Fe₂O₃, Haematite 7,5
C: α-FeOOH, Goethite 60,8
D: Silikate Fe²⁺ 9,4
E: Silikat Fe³⁺ (I) 6,8
F: Silikat Fe³⁺ (II) + Spm Goethite 5,7

Relative Transmission

8 K
High Temperature Nitridation

Hardening of Steel Surface
Fe$_3$N$_x$ at 293 K

rel. Transmission [%] vs. $v$ [mm s$^{-1}$]

- $x = 0$
- $x = 1$
- $x = 1,1$
- $x = 1,22$
- $x = 1,3$
$^{57}$Fe-Mössbauer-Spektrum einer Blutprobe

Fe(III)-HS: Oxidiertes Hämoglobin

Fe(II)-LS: Oxyhämoglobin
Eisen in französischem Rotwein
Eisen in Spinat

Fe(III)-HS
$^{57}$Fe Mößbauer-Spektrum

10000 Yen-Schein

Komponenten: 

- Magnetit, Fe$_3$O$_4$ 27%
- Superparamagnetisches Eisenoxid 36%
- Goethit, α-FeOOH 37%
$^{57}\text{Fe-Mößbauer-Spektrum}$

100 DM-Schein

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Komponenten</th>
<th>Fläche %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metallisches Eisen</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superparamagnetisches Eisen-(III)oxid</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetit</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hämatit</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>